

Brauer Group and Galois Cohomology

Jujian Zhang

Yunzhou Xie

January 22, 2025

Contents

Preface	2
1 Central Simple Algebras	3
1.1 Basic Theory	3
1.2 Subfields of Central Simple Algebras	5
2 Morita Equivalence	7
2.1 Construction of the equivalence	7
2.2 Stacks 074E	8
3 Results in Noncommutative Algebra	10
3.1 A Collection of Useful Lemmas	10
3.1.1 Tensor Product	10
3.1.2 Centralizer and Center	11
3.1.3 Some Isomorphisms	11
3.2 Wedderburn-Artin Theorem for Simple Rings	12
3.2.1 Classification of Simple Rings	12
3.2.2 Uniqueness of the Classification	13
3.3 Skolem-Noether Theorem	14
3.4 Double Centralizer Theorem	15
4 Brauer Group	17
4.1 Construction of Brauer Group	17
4.2 Base Change	18
4.3 Good Representative Lemma	20
4.3.1 Basic Properties	22
4.3.2 Conjugation Factors and Conjugation Sequences	23
4.4 The Second Galois Cohomology	24
4.4.1 From $\text{Br}(K/F)$ to $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$	25
4.4.2 Cross Product as a Central Simple Algebra	28
Central Algebra	32
Simple Ring	33
4.4.3 From $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ to $\text{Br}(K/F)$	35
4.4.4 $H^2 \circ \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \circ H^2$	36
4.4.5 Group Homomorphism	38
$\mathfrak{C}_1 = 1$ and $H^2(1) = 1$	38

Preface

In this exposition, we describe a excruciatingly detailed proof of the following theorem:

Theorem. For a finite dimensional and Galois field extension K/F , the relative Brauer group $\text{Br}(K/F)$ is isomorphic to the second group cohomology $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$.

The reason for the detailed-ness is because we are aiming to formalise the proof described in the following chapters; therefore the more details, the better. We apologise for the unconventional organisation in advance — earlier chapters sometimes use results from later chapter. For our defence, we try to categorise all the results by topics and, since this is a formalisation project, we can guarantee the readers that there is no circular reasoning.

Chapter 1

Central Simple Algebras

1.1 Basic Theory

In this chapter we define central simple algebras. We used some results in section 3.1.

Definition 1.1.1 (Simple Ring). A ring R is simple if the only two-sided-ideals of R are 0 and R . An algebra is simple if it is simple as a ring.

Remark 1.1.1. Division rings are simple.

Lemma 1.1.2. Let A be a simple ring, then centre of A is a field.

Proof. Let $0 \neq x$ be an element of centre of A . Then $I := \{xy|y \in A\}$ is a two-sided-ideal of A . Since $0 \neq x \in I$, we have that $I = A$. Therefore $1 \in I$, hence x is invertible. \square

Definition 1.1.2 (Central Algebras). Let R be a ring and A an R -algebra, we say A is central if and only if the centre of A is R

Remark 1.1.3. Every commutative ring is a central algebra over itself.

Remark 1.1.4. Simplesness is invariant under ring isomorphism and centrality is invariant under algebra isomorphism.

Lemma 1.1.5. If A is a central R -algebra, A^{opp} is also central. .

Lemma 1.1.6. R is a simple ring if and only if any ring homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow S$ either injective or S is the trivial ring.

Proof. If R is simple, then the $\ker f$ is either $\{0\}$ or R . The former case implies that f is injective while the latter case implies that S is the trivial ring. Conversely, let $I \subseteq R$ be a two-sided-ideal. Consider $\pi : R \rightarrow R/I$, either π is injective implying that $I = \{0\}$ or that R/I is the trivial ring implying that $I = R$. \square

Remark 1.1.7. If A is a simple R -algebra, “ring homomorphism” in lemma 1.1.6 can be replaced with R -algebra homomorphism.

Corollary 1.1.8. Assume R is a field. Let A, B be finite dimensional R -algebras where A is simple as well. Then any R -algebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ is bijective if $\dim_R A = \dim_R B$.

Proof. By lemma 1.1.6, f is injective. Then $\dim_K \text{im } f = \dim_K B - \dim_K \ker f = \dim_K B$ meaning that f is surjective. \square

Let K be a field and A, B be K -algebras.

Lemma 1.1.9. If A and B are central K -algebras, $A \otimes_K B$ is a central K -algebra as well.

Proof. Assume A and B are central algebras, then by corollary 3.1.7 $Z(A \otimes_R B) = Z(A) \otimes_R Z(B) = R \otimes_R R = R$. \square

Theorem 1.1.10. If A is a simple K -algebra and B is a central simple K -algebra, $A \otimes_K B$ is a central simple K -algebra as well.

Proof. By lemma 1.1.9, we need to prove $A \otimes_K B$ is a simple ring. Denote f as the map $A \rightarrow A \otimes_K B$. It is sufficient to prove that for every two-sided-ideal $I \subseteq A \otimes_K B$, we have $I = \langle f(f^{-1}(I)) \rangle$. Indeed, since A is simple $f^{-1}(I)$ is either $\{0\}$ or A , if it is $\{0\}$, then $I = \{0\}$; if it is A , then I is $A \otimes_K B$ as well.

We will prove that $I \subseteq \langle f(f^{-1}(I)) \rangle$, the other direction is straightforward. Without loss of generality assume $I \neq \{0\}$. Let \mathcal{A} be an arbitrary basis of A , by lemma 3.1.1, we see that every element $x \in A \otimes_K B$ can be written as $\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes b_i$ for some natural number n and some choice of $b_i \in B$ and $\mathcal{A}_i \in \mathcal{A}$. Since I is not empty, we see there exists a non-zero element $\omega \in I$ such that its expansion $\sum_{i=0}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes b_i$ has the minimal n . In particular, all b_i are non-zero and $n \neq 0$. We have $\omega = \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes b_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes b_i$. Since B is simple, $1 \in B = \langle \langle b_0 \rangle \rangle$; hence we write $1 \in \sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_0 y_j$ for some $x_j, y_j \in B$. Define $\Omega := \sum_{j=0}^m (1 \otimes x_j) \omega (1 \otimes y_j)$ which is also in I . We write

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_0 y_j \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_i y_j \right) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_i y_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

For every $\beta \in B$, we have that $(1 \otimes \beta) \Omega - \Omega (1 \otimes \beta)$ is in I and is equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^m \beta x_j b_i y_j - x_j b_i y_j \beta \right),$$

which is an expansion of $n-1$ terms, thus $(1 \otimes \beta) \Omega - \Omega (1 \otimes \beta)$ must be 0. Hence we conclude that for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $\sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_i y_j \in Z(B) = K$. Hence for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, we find a $\kappa_i \in K$ such that $\kappa_i = \sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_i y_j$. Hence we can calculate Ω as

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &= \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \left(\sum_{j=0}^m x_j b_i y_j \right) \\ &= \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{A}_i \otimes \kappa_i \\ &= \left(\mathcal{A}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \cdot \mathcal{A}_i \right) \otimes 1 \end{aligned}$$

From this, we note that $\mathcal{A}_0 + \sum_i^n \kappa_i \cdot \mathcal{A}_i \in f^{-1}(I)$; since A is simple, we immediately conclude that $f^{-1}(I) = A$, once we know $\mathcal{A}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \cdot \mathcal{A}_i$ is not zero. If it is zero, by the fact that \mathcal{A} is a linearly independent set, we conclude that $1, \kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n$ are all zero; which is a contradiction. Since $f^{-1}(I) = A$, we know $\langle f(f^{-1}I) \rangle = A \otimes_K B$. \square

Corollary 1.1.11. Central simple algebras are stable under base change. That is, if L/K is a field extension and D is a central simple algebra over K , then $L \otimes_K D$ is central simple over L .

Proof. By theorem 1.1.10, $L \otimes_K D$ is simple. Let $x \in Z(L \otimes_K D)$, by corollary 3.1.7, we have $x \in Z(L) \otimes Z(D) = Z(L)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $x = l \otimes d$ is a pure tensor, then $l \in Z(L)$ and $d \in K$. Therefore $x = d \cdot l \in L$. \square

Theorem 1.1.12. If $A \otimes_K B$ is a simple ring, then A and B are both simple.

Proof. By symmetry, we only prove that A is simple. If A or B is the trivial ring then $A \otimes_K B$ is the trivial ring, a contradiction. Thus we assume both A and B are non-trivial. Suppose A is not simple, by lemma 1.1.6, there exists a non-trivial K -algebra A' and a K -algebra homomorphism $f: A \rightarrow A'$ such that $\ker f \neq \{0\}$. Let $F: A \otimes_K B \rightarrow A' \otimes_K B$ be the base change of f , then since $A \otimes_K B$ is simple and $A' \otimes_K B$ is non-trivial (A' is non-trivial and B is faithfully flat because B is free), we conclude that F is injective. Then we have that

$$0 \xrightarrow{0} A \otimes_K B \xrightarrow{F} A' \otimes_K B$$

is exact. Since B is faithfully flat as a K -module, tensoring with B reflects exact sequences, therefore

$$0 \xrightarrow{0} A \xrightarrow{f} A'$$

is exact as well. This is contradiction since f is not injective. \square

1.2 Subfields of Central Simple Algebras

Definition 1.2.1 (Subfield). For any field K and K -algebra A , a subfield $B \subseteq A$ is a commutative K -subalgebra of A that is closed under inverse for any non-zero member.

Remark 1.2.1. Subfields inherit a natural ordering from subalgebras.

Let K be any field and D a finite dimensional central division K -algebra and A a finite dimensional central simple algebra of A .

Lemma 1.2.2. Let k be a maximal subfield of D ,

$$\dim_K D = (\dim_K k)^2.$$

Proof. By lemma 3.4.11, we have that $\dim_K D = \dim_K C_D(k) \cdot \dim_K k$. Hence it is sufficient to show that $C_D(k) = k$. By the commutativity of k , we have that $k \leq C_D(k)$. Suppose $k \neq C_D(k)$: let $a \in C_D(k)$ that is not in k . We see that $L := k(a)$ is another subalgebra of D that is strictly larger than k ; a contradiction. Therefore $k = C_D(k)$ and the theorem is proved. \square

Lemma 1.2.3. Suppose L is a subfield of A , the following are equivalent:

1. $L = C_A(L)$

2. $\dim_K A = (\dim_K L)^2$

3. for any commutative K -subalgebra $L' \subseteq A$, $L \subseteq L'$ implies $L = L'$

Proof. We prove the following:

- “1. implies 2.”: this is lemma [3.4.11](#).
- “2. implies 1.”: Since L is commutative, we always have $L \subseteq C_A(L)$. Hence we only need to show $\dim_K L = \dim_K C_A(L)$. This is because by lemma [3.4.11](#), we have that $\dim_K A = \dim_K L \cdot \dim_K C_A(L)$ and by 2. we have $\dim_K L \cdot \dim_K C_A(L) = \dim_K L \cdot \dim_K L$.
- “2. implies 3.”: Since 2. implies 1., we assume $L = C_A(L)$, therefore all we need is to prove $L' \subseteq C_A(L)$. Let $x \in L'$ and $y \in L \subseteq L'$, we need to show $xy = yx$ which is commutativity of L' .
- “3. implies 1.”: By commutativity of L , we always have $L \subseteq C_A(L)$. For the other direction, suppose $C_A(L) \not\subseteq L$, then there exists some $a \in C_A(L)$ but not in L . Consider $L' = L(a)$, by 3., we have $L' = L$ which is a contradiction.

□

Chapter 2

Morita Equivalence

This chapter intertwine with section 3.2: section 2.2 depends on section 3.2.1; while section 3.2.2 depends on section 2.2.

2.1 Construction of the equivalence

Let R be a ring and $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this chapter, we prove that the category R -modules and the category of $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -modules are equivalent. Then we use the equivalence to prove several useful lemmas.

Construction 2.1.1. If M is an R -module, we have a natural $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -module structure on $\widehat{M} := M^n$ given by $(m_{ij}) \cdot (v_k) = \sum_j m_{ij} \cdot v_j$. If $f : M \rightarrow N$ is an R -linear map, then $\widehat{f} : M^n \rightarrow N^n$ given by $(v_i) \mapsto (f(v_i))$ is a $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -linear map. Thus we have a well-defined functor $\mathfrak{Mod}_R \implies \mathfrak{Mod}_{\text{Mat}_n(R)}$.

Remark 2.1.1. Note that all modules are assumed to be left modules; when we need to consider right R -modules, we will consider left R^{opp} -modules instead. We use δ_{ij} to denote the matrix whose (i, j) -th entry is 1 and 0 elsewhere. δ_{ij} forms a basis for matrices.

Construction 2.1.2. If M is a $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -module, then $\widetilde{M} := \{\delta_{ij} \cdot m \mid m \in M\} \subseteq M$ is an R -module whose R -action is given by $r \cdot (\delta_{ij} \cdot m) := (r \cdot \delta_{ij}) \cdot m$. More over if $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -linear map, $\widetilde{f} : \widetilde{M} \rightarrow \widetilde{N}$ given by the restriction of f is R -linear. Hence, we have a functor $\mathfrak{Mod}_{\text{Mat}_n(R)} \implies \mathfrak{Mod}_R$.

Theorem 2.1.2 (Morita Equivalence). The functors constructed in construction 2.1.1 and construction 2.1.2 form an equivalence of category.

Proof. Let M be an R -module, then the unit $\widetilde{\widehat{M}} \cong M$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto \sum_j x_j \\ (x, 0, \dots, 0) &\leftarrow x \end{aligned}$$

Let M be an $\text{Mat}_n(R)$ -module, then the counit $\widehat{\widetilde{M}} \cong M$ is given by $m \mapsto (\delta_{i0} \cdot m)$. This map is both injective and surjective. \square

2.2 Stacks 074E

Let A be a finite dimensional simple k -algebra.

Lemma 2.2.1. Let M and N be simple A -modules, then M and N are isomorphic as A -modules.

Proof. By theorem 3.2.6, there exists non-zero $n \in \mathbb{N}$, k -division algebra D such that $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ as k -algebras. Then by theorem 2.1.2, we have equivalence of category $e : \mathfrak{Mod}_A \cong \mathfrak{Mod}_D$. Since simple module is a categorical notion (it can be defined in terms monomorphisms), $e(M)$ and $e(N)$ are simple D -modules. Since D is a division ring, $e(M)$ and $e(N)$ are isomorphic as D -modules, therefore M and N are isomorphic as A -modules. \square

Lemma 2.2.2. Let M be an A -module, there exists a simple A -module S such that M is a direct sum of copies of S , i.e. $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} S$ for some indexing set ι .

Proof. By theorem 3.2.6, there exists non-zero $n \in \mathbb{N}$, k -division algebra D such that $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ as k -algebras. Then by theorem 2.1.2, we have equivalence of category $e : \mathfrak{Mod}_A \cong \mathfrak{Mod}_D$. Since simple module is a categorical notion (it can be defined in terms monomorphisms), $e^{-1}(D)$ is a simple module over A . Since $e(M)$ is a free module over D , we can write $e(M)$ as $\bigoplus_{i \in \iota} D$ for some indexing set ι . By precomposing the unit of e , we get an isomorphism $M \cong e^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i \in \iota} D)$. We only need to prove $e^{-1}(\bigoplus_{i \in \iota} D) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} e^{-1}(D)$. This is because direct sum is the categorical coproduct. \square

Remark 2.2.3. Note that by lemma 2.2.1, any two simple A -module are isomorphic, hence for any A -module M and any simple A -module S , we can write M as a direct sum of copies of S .

Lemma 2.2.4. Let M and N be two finite A -module with compatible k -action. Then M and N are isomorphic as A -module if and only if $\dim_k M$ and $\dim_k N$ are equal.

Proof. The forward direction is trivial as an A -linear isomorphism is a k -linear isomorphism as well. Conversely, suppose $\dim_k M = \dim_k N$. By lemma 2.2.2, there exists a simple A -module S such that $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} S$ and $N \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota'} S$ as A -modules. Without loss of generality $S \neq 0$, for otherwise we have $M \cong N$ anyway. If either of ι or ι' is empty, then $\dim_k M = \dim_k N = 0$ implying that $M = N = 0$, we again have $M \cong N$. Thus, we assume both ι and ι' are non-empty. By pulling back the A -module structure on S to a k -module structure along $k \hookrightarrow A$, $M, N, S, \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} S, \bigoplus_{i \in \iota'} S$ are all finite dimensional k -vector spaces. Hence ι and ι' are finite. The equality $\dim_k M = \dim_k N$ tells us that $\iota \cong \iota'$ as set, hence $M \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} S \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota'} S \cong N$ as required. \square

Let $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ as k -algebras for some k -division algebra and $n \neq 0$.

Lemma 2.2.5. D^n is a simple A -module where the module structure is given by pulling back the $\text{Mat}_n(D)$ -module structure of D^n .

Proof. By theorem 2.1.2, we have $\mathfrak{Mod}_A \cong \mathfrak{Mod}_D \cong \mathfrak{Mod}_{\text{Mat}_n(D)}$. Since D is a simple D -module, D^n is a simple $\text{Mat}_n(D)$ module and consequently, a simple A -module. \square

Remark 2.2.6. Note that any A -linear endomorphism of D^n is $\text{Mat}_n(D)$ -linear, and vice versa. Thus we have $\text{End}_A(D^n) \cong \text{End}_{\text{Mat}_n(D)}(D^n)$ as k -algebras.

Lemma 2.2.7. $\text{End}_A(D^n)$ is isomorphic to D^{opp} as k -algebras.

Proof. Indeed, we calculate:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{End}_A(D^n) &\cong \text{End}_{\text{Mat}_n(D)}(D^n) \\ &\cong \text{End}_D D && \text{by theorem 2.1.2, } \mathfrak{Mod}_D \cong \mathfrak{Mod}_{\text{Mat}_n D} \\ &\cong D^{\text{opp}} \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 2.2.8. Let M be a simple A -module, then $\text{End}_A M \cong D^{\text{opp}}$ as k -algebras.

Proof. By theorem 2.1.2, D^n is simple as A -module; hence by lemma 2.2.1, D^n and M are isomorphic as A -module. Lemma 2.2.7 gives the desired result. □

Remark 2.2.9. In particular, if M is a simple A -module, then $\text{End}_A M$ is a simple k -algebra.

Lemma 2.2.10. Let M be a simple A -module, then $\text{End}_A M$ has finite k -dimension.

Proof. By theorem 3.2.4, such D and n always exists. Hence we only need to show D^{opp} has finite k -dimension. Since $\dim_k A = \dim_k \text{Mat}_n(D)$ are both finite, we conclude D^{opp} is finite as a k -vector space by pulling back the finiteness along $D \hookrightarrow \text{Mat}_n(D)$. □

Remark 2.2.11. Note that for all A -module M , $\text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ is a k -algebra as well, with $k \hookrightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ given by $\mathfrak{a} \mapsto (x \mapsto \mathfrak{a} \cdot x)$. Thus, we always have a k -algebra homomorphism $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ given by the A -action on M . When A is a simple ring, this map is injective.

Definition 2.2.1 (Balanced Module). For any ring A and A -module M , we say M is a balanced A -module, if the A -linear map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ is surjective.

Remark 2.2.12. Balancedness is invariant under linear isomorphism.

Lemma 2.2.13. For any ring A , A is balanced as A -module.

Proof. If $f \in \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} A$, then the image of $f(1)$ under $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} A$ is f again. □

We assume again that A is a finite dimensional simple k -algebra.

Lemma 2.2.14. Any simple A -module is balanced.

Proof. Indeed, if M is a simple A -module, then $A \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} M$ for some indexing set ι by lemma 2.2.2. Since A is balanced, $\bigoplus_{i \in \iota} M$ is balanced. Let $g \in \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$, we can define a corresponding $G \in \text{End}_{\text{End}_{\bigoplus_i M} (\bigoplus_i M)}$ by sending (v_i) to $(g(v_i))$. Since $\bigoplus_i M$ is balanced, we know that for some $\mathfrak{a} \in A$, G is the image of \mathfrak{a} under $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_{\bigoplus_i M} (\bigoplus_i M)}$. Then the image of \mathfrak{a} under $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ is g . □

Lemma 2.2.15. For any simple A -module M , we have $A \cong \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ as k -algebras.

Proof. The canonical map $A \rightarrow \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ is both injective and surjective, as M is a balanced A -module and A is a simple ring. □

Chapter 3

Results in Noncommutative Algebra

3.1 A Collection of Useful Lemmas

In section, we collect some lemmas that does not really belong to anywhere.

3.1.1 Tensor Product

Lemma 3.1.1. Let M and N be R -modules such that $\mathcal{C}_{i \in \iota}$ is a basis for N , then every elements of $x \in M \otimes_R N$ can be uniquely written as $\sum_{i \in \iota} m_i \otimes \mathcal{C}_i$ where only finitely many m_i 's are non-zero

Proof. Given the basis \mathcal{C} , we have R -linear isomorphism $N \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} R$, hence $M \otimes_R N \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} (M \otimes_R R) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in \iota} M$ as R -modules. \square

By switching M and N , the symmetric statement goes without saying.

Lemma 3.1.2. Let K be a field, M and N be flat K -modules. Suppose $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq M$ and $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq N$ are K -submodules, then $(\mathfrak{p} \otimes_K N) \cap (M \otimes_K \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p} \otimes_K \mathfrak{q}$ as K -submodules.

Proof. The hard direction is to show $(\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R N) \cap (M \otimes_R \mathfrak{q}) \leq \mathfrak{p} \otimes_R \mathfrak{q}$. Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathfrak{p} \otimes_K \mathfrak{q} & \xrightarrow{u} & M \otimes_K \mathfrak{q} & \xrightarrow{v} & M/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_K \mathfrak{q} \\ \downarrow \alpha & & \downarrow \beta & & \downarrow \gamma \\ \mathfrak{p} \otimes_K N & \xrightarrow{u'} & M \otimes_K N & \xrightarrow{v'} & M/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_K N \end{array}$$

Since M/\mathfrak{p} is flat, γ is injective. Let $z \in (\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R N) \cap (M \otimes_R \mathfrak{q}) = \text{im } \beta \cap \text{im } u'$. By abusing notation, replace z with some elements of $M \otimes_K \mathfrak{q}$ and continue with $\beta(z) \in \text{im } \beta \cap \text{im } u'$. Since $v'(\beta(z)) = \gamma(v(z))$ and that $\beta(z) \in \text{im } u'$, we conclude that $\gamma(v(z)) = 0$, that is $z \in \ker v = \text{im } u$. We abuse notation again, let $z \in \mathfrak{p} \otimes_K \mathfrak{q}$, we need to show $\beta(u(z)) \in \text{im } \beta \cap \text{im } u'$, but $\beta \circ u = u' \circ \alpha$, we finish the proof. \square

3.1.2 Centralizer and Center

Let R be a commutative ring and A, B be two R -algebras. We denote centralizer of $S \subseteq A$ by $C_A S$ and centre of A by $Z(A)$.

Lemma 3.1.3. Let S, T be two subalgebras of A , then $C_A(S \sqcup T) = C_A(S) \cap C_A(T)$.

This lemma can be generalized to centralizers of arbitrary supremum of subalgebras.

Lemma 3.1.4. If we assume B is free as R -module, then for any R -subalgebra S , we have that $C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(S \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$ is $C_A(S) \otimes_R B$

A symmetric statement goes without saying.

Proof. Let $w \in C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(S \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$. Since B is free, we choose an arbitrary basis \mathcal{B} ; by lemma 3.1.1, we write $w = \sum_i m_i \otimes \mathcal{B}_i$. It is sufficient to show that $m_i \in C_A(S)$ for all i . Let $a \in S$, we need to show that $m_i \cdot a = a \cdot m_i$. Since w is in the centralizer, $w \cdot (a \otimes 1) = (a \otimes 1) \cdot w$. Hence we have $\sum_i (a \cdot m_i) \otimes \mathcal{B}_i = \sum_i (m_i \cdot a) \otimes \mathcal{B}_i$. By the uniqueness of lemma 3.1.1, we conclude $a \cdot m_i = m_i \cdot a$. \square

Remark 3.1.5. A useful special case is when $S = A$, then since $C_A(A) = Z(A)$, we have $C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(A \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$ is equal to $Z(A) \otimes_R B$. Since $\text{im}(R \otimes_R B \rightarrow A \otimes_R B) = \text{im}(A \rightarrow A \otimes_R B)$, we conclude its centralizer in $A \otimes_R B$ is $Z(A) \otimes_R B$.

Corollary 3.1.6. Assume R is a field. Let S and T be R -subalgebras of A and B respectively. Then $C_{A \otimes_R B}(S \otimes_R T)$ is equal to $C_A(S) \otimes_R C_B(T)$

Proof. From lemma 3.1.2, $C_A(S) \otimes_R C_B(T)$ is equal to $(C_A(S) \otimes_R B) \cap (A \otimes_R C_B(T))$. The left hand side $C_A(S) \otimes_R B$ is equal to $C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(S \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$ and the right hand side is equal to $C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(T \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$. Hence by lemma 3.1.3, their intersection is equal to

$$C_{A \otimes_R B}(\text{im}(S \rightarrow A \otimes_R B) \sqcup \text{im}(T \rightarrow A \otimes_R B))$$

This is precisely $C_{A \otimes_R B}(S \otimes_R T)$. \square

Corollary 3.1.7. Assume R is a field. The centre of $A \otimes_R B$ is $Z(A) \otimes_R Z(B)$.

Proof. Special case of corollary 3.1.6. \square

3.1.3 Some Isomorphisms

Construction 3.1.1. Let R be a commutative ring and A an R -algebra. Then we have an R -algebra homomorphism $A \otimes_R A^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{End}_R A$ given by $a \otimes 1 \mapsto (a \cdot \bullet)$ and $1 \otimes a \mapsto (\bullet \cdot a)$. When R is a field and A is a finite dimensional central simple algebra, this morphism is an isomorphism by corollary 1.1.8.

Construction 3.1.2. Let A be an R -algebra and M an A -module. We have isomorphism $\text{End}_A(M^n) \cong \text{Mat}_n(\text{End}_A M)$ as R -algebras. For any $f \in \text{End}_A(M^n)$, we define a matrix M whose (i, j) -th entry is

$$x \mapsto f(0, \dots, x, \dots, 0)_i,$$

where x is at the j -th position. On the other hand, if $M \in \text{Mat}_n(\text{End}_A M)$, we define an A -linear map $f : M^n \rightarrow M^n$ by

$$v \mapsto \left(\sum_j M_{ij} v_j \right)_i.$$

Construction 3.1.3. Let A be an R -algebra. Then $\text{Mat}_m(\text{Mat}_n(A)) \cong \text{Mat}_{mn}(A)$. The trick is to think $\text{Mat}_m A$ as $\{0, \dots, m-1\} \times \{0, \dots, m-1\} \rightarrow A$. Since the indexing set $\{0, \dots, mn-1\}$ bijects with $(\{0, \dots, m-1\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\})$, the isomorphism is just function currying, function uncurrying, precomposing and postcomposing bijections.

Construction 3.1.4. Let A, B be R -algebras. Then $\text{Mat}_{mn}(A \otimes_R B) \cong \text{Mat}_m(A) \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(B)$ as K -algebras. We first construct R -algebra isomorphism $A \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(R) \cong \text{Mat}_n(A)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \otimes 1 &\mapsto \text{diag } \mathbf{a} \text{ and } 1 \otimes (\mathbf{m}_{ij}) \mapsto (\mathbf{m}_{ij}) \\ \sum_{i,j} \mathbf{m}_{ij} \otimes \delta_{ij} &\mapsto (\mathbf{m}_{ij}), \end{aligned}$$

where diag is the diagonal matrix and δ_{ij} the matrix whose only non-zero entry is at (i, j) -th and is equal to 1. Thus $\text{Mat}_m(A) \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(B) \cong (A \otimes_R B) \otimes_R (\text{Mat}_m(R) \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(R))$ as R -algebra. The Kronecker product gives us an R -algebra map $\text{Mat}_m(R) \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(R) \rightarrow \text{Mat}_{mn}(R)$. We want this map to be an isomorphism. By lemma 1.1.6, we only need to prove it to be surjective: for all $\delta_{ij} \in \text{Mat}_{mn}(R)$, we interpret $\text{Mat}_{mn}(R)$ as a function $\{0, \dots, m-1\} \times \{0, \dots, n-1\} \rightarrow R$, then δ_{ij} is the image of $\delta_{ab} \otimes \delta_{cd} \in \text{Mat}_m(R) \otimes_R \text{Mat}_n(R)$ where $i = (a, c)$ and $j = (b, d)$. Combine everything together, we see $\text{Mat}_{mn}(A \otimes_R B)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mat}_{mn}(A \otimes_R B)$ as R -algebras.

3.2 Wedderburn-Artin Theorem for Simple Rings

3.2.1 Classification of Simple Rings

Lemma 3.2.1 (minimal ideal of simple rings). Let A be a ring and I a non-trivial minimal left ideal of A , then I is a simple A -module.

Proof. Let $J \leq I$ be an A -submodule of I , suppose J is non-trivial, we prove that $J = I$. Then the image J' of J under $I \hookrightarrow A$ is a non-trivial left ideal of A . Since $I \hookrightarrow A$ is injective, it is sufficient to prove that $J' = I$. This is because $J' \leq I$ and $J' \not\leq J$. \square

Lemma 3.2.2. Let A be a simple ring and I a non-trivial left ideal. One can write $1 \in A$ as $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i$ for some $x_i \in I$ and $y_i \in A$.

Proof. Let I' be the two-sided ideal spanned by I . Then since A is a simple ring, $I' = A$. Thus $1 \in I'$. One can write $1 \in A$ as $\sum_i a_i x_i b_i$ for some $x_i \in I$ and $a_i, b_i \in A$, since I is a left ideal $a_i x_i \in I$ as well. \square

Now, we can find the smallest n such that $1 \in A$ can be written as $\sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i$ for some $x_i \in I$ and $y_i \in A$. Let us fix the notations n, x_i and y_i

Lemma 3.2.3. The n, x_i and y_i are all non-zero.

Proof. If n is 0, then $1 = 0$ in A , but all simple rings are non-trivial. We argue by contradiction to prove that all x_i and y_i are non-zero. Assume there exists a j such that $y_j \neq 0$ implies $x_j = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $j = 0$. Then $1 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. This contradicts the minimality of n . \square

Theorem 3.2.4 (Wedderburn). Let A be a simple ring and I a non-trivial minimal left ideal. Then there exists a non-zero $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \cong I^n$ as A -modules.

Proof. We continue to write $1 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i$ in the shortest possible manner. Then we can define an A -linear map $g : I^n \rightarrow A$ by $(v_i) \mapsto \sum v_i y_i$. Then g is surjective: if $a \in A$, then (ax_i) is mapped to a under g . g is injective as well: support $g(v_i) = 0 = \sum_i v_i y_i$ with (v_i) not all zero. Without loss of generality, we assume $v_0 \neq 0$, then the ideal $\langle v_0 \rangle$ is equal to I (since I is simple lemma 3.2.1). Thus $x_0 \in I = \langle v_0 \rangle$; implying that $x_0 = r \cdot v_0$ for some $r \in A$. Thus $1 = 1 - r \cdot 0 = \sum_{i=0}^n x_i y_i - \sum_{i=0}^n r \cdot v_i y_i$. In this way, we cancelled the term at $i = 0$, contradicting the minimality of n . Hence g is an isomorphism. \square

Theorem 3.2.5 (Wedderburn-Artin (Ideal)). Let A be an Artinian simple ring. There exists a non-zero n and an ideal $I \subseteq A$ such that I is simple as an A -module and $A \cong I^n$ as A -module.

Proof. By theorem 3.2.4, we only need a minimal left ideal. Since A is Artinian, such ideal exists. \square

Theorem 3.2.6 (Wedderburn-Artin (Algebra)). Let K be a field and B a finite dimensional simple algebra over K . There exists a non-zero $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and a division K -algebra S such that $B \cong \text{Mat}_n(S)$.

Proof. By theorem 3.2.5, we can find a n and a minimal left ideal I such $A \cong I^n$ as A -modules. Note that $(\text{End}_B I)^{\text{opp}}$ is a division ring. Then since $B^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{End}_B B \cong \text{End}_B (I^n) \cong \text{Mat}_n(\text{End}_B I)$ as rings where the final isomorphism is from construction 3.1.2, we have $e : B \cong \text{Mat}_n(\text{End}_B I)^{\text{opp}}$ as rings. We also have a K -algebra structure on $(\text{End}_B I)^{\text{opp}}$ given by $(a \cdot f)(x) = f(a \cdot x)$, and this algebra structure promotes the ring isomorphism e to a k -algebra isomorphism. \square

3.2.2 Uniqueness of the Classification

In the previous section, we know that finite dimensional simple K -algebra B over is in fact a matrix algebras of a division K -algebra S . In this section, we prove that the division algebra S is essentially unique.

Theorem 3.2.7 (Uniqueness of Wedderburn-Artin theorem). Let B be a finite-dimensional simple K -algebra. Suppose B is isomorphic as k -algebras to both $\text{Mat}_n(D)$ and $\text{Mat}_{n'}(D')$ where n, n' are non-zero natural numbers and D, D' are k -division algebra, then $n = n'$ and $D \cong D'$ as k -algebras.

Proof. Since D^n is a simple B -module, by lemma 2.2.8, we see that $\text{End}_A D^n \cong D^{\text{opp}}$ and $\text{End}_A D^n \cong D'^{\text{opp}}$ as k -algebras. Thus $D^{\text{opp}} \cong D'^{\text{opp}}$ as k -algebras, consequently $D \cong D'$ as k -algebras as well. Since $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(D) \cong \text{Mat}_{n'}(D') \cong \text{Mat}_{n'}(D)$ as k -algebras and A is finite k -dimensional, a dimension argument shows that $n = n'$. \square

3.3 Skolem-Noether Theorem

Let K be a field, A, B be K -algebras where A is central simple and finite K -dimensional and B is simple. Let M be a simple A -module.

Construction 3.3.1. For any K -algebra homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow A$, we give M a $B \otimes_K \text{End}_A M$ -module structure by defining $(b \otimes l) \cdot m$ to be $f(b) \cdot l(m)$. To emphasis f , we denote M with the $B \otimes_K \text{End}_A M$ -module structure by M^f .

Lemma 3.3.1. Let $f : B \rightarrow A$ be a K -algebra homomorphism, M^f is finitely generated as a $B \otimes_K \text{End}_A M$ -module.

Proof. Since M is a finite A -module and A a finite dimensional K -vector space, M is a finite dimensional K -vector space as well. Suppose $S \subseteq M$ generates M as K -module, the claim is that S generates M^f as well. Let $x \in M^f$, we write $x = \sum_i \lambda_i \cdot s_i$ with $\lambda_i \in K$ and $s_i \in S$. Note that $\lambda_i \cdot s_i = (\rho(\lambda_i) \otimes 1_M)$ in M^f where $\rho : K \rightarrow B$ is the map giving B its K -algebra structure. Hence x is in the span of S in M^f as well. \square

Remark 3.3.2. Given that B is simple, any k -algebra homomorphism $f : B \rightarrow A$ injective; therefore by finite K -dimensionality of A , B is finite K -dimensional as well.

Lemma 3.3.3. Let $f, g : B \rightarrow A$ be two K -algebra homomorphisms. Then M^f and M^g are isomorphic as $B \otimes_K \text{End}_A M$ -module.

Proof. By lemma 2.2.4, it is sufficient to prove $\dim_K M^f = \dim_K M^g$. But as K -vector space, M^f and M^g are literally M . \square

Theorem 3.3.4 (Skolem-Noether). Let $f, g : B \rightarrow A$ be two K -algebra homomorphism. Then f and g differ only by a conjugation. That is there exists a unit $x \in A^\times$ such that $g = xfx^{-1}$.

Proof. Let M be any simple A -module (which exists by lemma 2.2.5). By lemma 3.3.3, we have some isomorphism $\phi : M^f \cong M^g$ as $B \otimes_K \text{End}_A M$ -module. Since M is simple, we have that M is a balanced A -module by lemma 2.2.15. Let e denote the k -algebra isomorphism $A \cong \text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$ given by the A -action on M . Since both ϕ and ϕ^{-1} defines an element of $\text{End}_{\text{End}_A M} M$, we define $a := e^{-1}(\phi)$ and $b := e^{-1}(\phi^{-1})$. Then $ab = 1$ since $e(ab) = e(a) \cdot e(b) = \phi\phi^{-1} = 1$. We prove that the image of f and afb under e are the same; that is for all $x \in B$ and $m \in M$, $e(g(x))(m) = e(af(x)b)(m)$. The right hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} e(af(x)b)(m) &= (e(a) \circ e(f(x)) \circ e(b))(m) \\ &= (\phi \circ e(f(x)) \circ \phi^{-1})(m) \quad . \\ &= \phi(f(x) \cdot \phi^{-1}(m)) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, the left hand side is equal to $g(x) \cdot m$. Note that ϕ is $B \otimes \text{End}_A M$ -linear. Therefore $\phi((x \otimes 1) \cdot \phi^{-1}(m)) = (x \otimes 1) \cdot m$. Unfolding the definition of M^f and M^g , we see this is saying precisely $\phi(f(x) \cdot \phi^{-1}(m)) = g(x) \cdot m$. \square

3.4 Double Centralizer Theorem

In this section let F be a field and A an F -algebra. Define $\mathcal{L}_A \subseteq \text{End}_F A$ to be

$$\{f : A \rightarrow A \mid f(x) = ax \text{ for some } a \in A\},$$

i.e. F -linear maps defined by left multiplication; similarly define \mathcal{R}_A . Note that \mathcal{L}_A and \mathcal{R}_A are F -subalgebras of $\text{End}_F A$. When we need to stress the underlying field is F , we also write \mathcal{L}_A^F and \mathcal{R}_A^F . We assume A to be a finite dimensional central simple F -algebra.

Lemma 3.4.1. The centralizer of \mathcal{L}_A in $\text{End}_F A$ is smaller than or equal to \mathcal{R}_A :

$$C_{\text{End}_F A}(\mathcal{L}_A) \leq \mathcal{R}_A.$$

Proof. Indeed, let $x \in C_{\text{End}_F A}(\mathcal{L}_A)$. Recall from construction 3.1.1 that $e : A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{End}_F A$ as F -algebras. Then $e^{-1}(x)$ is in $C_{A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}}}(\text{im}(A \rightarrow A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}}))$ (for e sends $a \otimes 1$ to the F -linear map $(a \cdot \bullet)$). Since $C_{A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}}}(\text{im}(A \rightarrow A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}})) = Z(A) \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}} = F \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}} = \text{im}(A^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow A \otimes_F A^{\text{opp}})$, we find some $y \in A^{\text{opp}}$ such that $1 \otimes y = e^{-1}(x)$. Therefore $e(1 \otimes y) = x$; but $e(1 \otimes y)$ is in \mathcal{R}_A for it is the linear map $(\bullet \cdot y)$. \square

Remark 3.4.2. For any F -algebra B , every element in $C_{\text{End}_F B}(\mathcal{L}_B)$ is in fact $Z(B)$ -linear. Let $x \in C_{\text{End}_F B}(\mathcal{L}_B)$, $z \in Z(B)$ and $b \in B$, we have $x(z \cdot b) = z \cdot x(b)$ because x commutes with the linear map $(z \cdot \bullet)$.

Remark 3.4.3. A is a $Z(A)$ -algebra whose algebra structure is given by $Z(A) \hookrightarrow A$. By lemma 1.1.2, $Z(A)$ is a field. A is finite dimensional as a $Z(A)$ -module because of the tower $A/Z(A)/F$.

Lemma 3.4.4. As F -algebras, we have $\mathcal{R}_A \cong A^{\text{opp}}$.

Proof. We prove the map $A^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_A$ is bijective. It is injective because if $(\bullet \cdot a) = (\bullet \cdot b)$, then $a = 1 \cdot a = 1 \cdot b = b$. The map is surjective by the definition of \mathcal{R}_A . \square

Lemma 3.4.5. Let B be any simple F -algebra (not necessarily central). The centralizer of \mathcal{L}_B in $\text{End}_F B$ is equal to \mathcal{R}_B .

Proof. It is straightforward to show $\mathcal{R}_B^F \leq C_{\text{End}_F A}(\mathcal{L}_B^F)$. So we only need to prove $C_{\text{End}_F A}(\mathcal{L}_B^F) \leq \mathcal{R}_B^F$. By lemma 3.4.1, since B is a central simple finite dimensional $Z(B)$ -algebra, we have that

$$C_{\text{End}_{Z(B)} B}(\mathcal{L}_B^{Z(B)}) \leq \mathcal{R}_B^{Z(B)}.$$

Suppose $f \in \text{End}_F B$ is in $C_{\text{End}_F B}(\mathcal{L}_B)$, by remark 3.4.2, f is $Z(B)$ -linear as well. Then f is in $\mathcal{R}_B^{Z(B)}$; that is f is equal to $(\bullet \cdot b)$ for some $b \in B$ as $Z(B)$ -linear maps. Then f is also equal to $(\bullet \cdot b)$ as F -linear maps. \square

Construction 3.4.1. Let B be any F -algebra and $S \subseteq B$ an F -subalgebra. For any $x \in B^\times$, we have that $xSx^{-1} := \{xsx^{-1} \mid s \in S\}$ is an F -subalgebra of B as well. We have the obvious F -algebra isomorphism $S \cong xSx^{-1}$ given by $s \mapsto xsx^{-1}$ and $x^{-1}tx \mapsto t$. Therefore $\dim_F S = \dim_F xSx^{-1}$ and S is a simple ring if and only if xSx^{-1} is a simple ring.

Lemma 3.4.6. Let B be any F -algebra, $x \in B^\times$ and $S \subseteq B$ be an F -subalgebra of B , then $C_B(xSx^{-1}) = x(C_B(S))x^{-1}$.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{a} \in C_B(\chi S \chi^{-1})$, then $\chi^{-1} \mathfrak{a} \chi$ is in $C_B(S)$. Conversely if \mathfrak{a} is equal to $\chi b \chi^{-1}$ with $b \in C_B(S)$, then it is in $C_B(\chi S \chi^{-1})$ as well. \square

Remark 3.4.7. For any finite dimensional F -module B , we have isomorphism $\text{End}_F B \cong \text{Mat}_{\dim_F B} F$ as F -algebras. Hence $\text{End}_F B$ is a finite-dimensional central simple algebra over F .

Lemma 3.4.8. Let $S \subseteq A$ be a simple F -subalgebra, then $A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S$ is a simple ring.

Proof. By lemma 3.4.4, we have $A \otimes \mathcal{R}_S \cong A \otimes S^{\text{opp}}$ as F -algebras. The claim follows from theorem 1.1.10. \square

Lemma 3.4.9. Let $S \subseteq A$ be a simple F -subalgebra, then there exists an $\chi \in (A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S)^\times$ such that $C_A(S) \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ is isomorphic to $\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S) \chi^{-1}$ as F -algebras.

Proof. By lemma 1.1.9 and theorem 1.1.10, $A \otimes_F C_A(S)$ is a central simple F -algebra. Let $f : S \rightarrow A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ be an F -algebra homomorphism defined by $s \mapsto s \otimes 1_S$ and $g : S \rightarrow A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ be an F -algebra homomorphism defined by $1_A \otimes (s \cdot \bullet)$. Then by theorem 3.3.4, we that there exists some $\chi \in (A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S)^\times$ such that $f = \chi g \chi^{-1}$. Then we have $S \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ is equal to $\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{L}_S) \chi^{-1}$: indeed the left hand side is $\text{im } f$ while the right handside is $\chi (\text{im } g) \chi^{-1}$. Therefore $C_{A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S}(S \otimes_F \text{End}_F S) = C_{A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S}(\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S) \chi^{-1})$. By lemma 3.4.6, the right hand side is equal to $\chi C_{A \otimes_F \text{End}_F S}(A \otimes_F \mathcal{L}_S) \chi^{-1}$ which is $\chi (A \otimes_F C_{\text{End}_F S}(\mathcal{L}_S)) \chi^{-1}$ by lemma 3.1.4 which is $\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S) \chi^{-1}$ by lemma 3.4.5. \square

Lemma 3.4.10. Let $S \subseteq A$ be a simple F -subalgebra, then $C_A(S)$ is simple as well.

Proof. By lemma 3.4.9, $C_A(S) \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ is isomorphic to $\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S) \chi^{-1}$ as F -algebras. Then $C(S) \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ is simple since $A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S$ is simple by lemma 3.4.8. By theorem 1.1.12, $C_A(S)$ is simple. \square

Lemma 3.4.11. Let $S \subseteq A$ be a simple F -subalgebra. Then

$$\dim_F C_A(S) \cdot \dim_F S = \dim_F A.$$

Proof. By lemma 3.4.9, $C_A(S) \otimes_F \text{End}_F S$ is isomorphic to $\chi (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S) \chi^{-1}$ as F -algebras. Hence $\dim_F (C_A(S) \otimes_F \text{End}_F S) = \dim_F (A \otimes_F \mathcal{R}_S)$ where the left hand side is $\dim_F C_A(S) \cdot \dim_F \text{End}_F S$ and the right hand side is $\dim_F A \cdot \dim_F \mathcal{R}_S$. Since $\dim_F \text{End}_F S = \dim_F S^2$ and $\dim_F \mathcal{R}_S = \dim S$ (by lemma 3.4.4), we proved this lemma. \square

Corollary 3.4.12. Let $S \subseteq A$ be a central simple F -subalgebra,

$$A \cong B \otimes_F C_A(B).$$

Proof. By lemma 3.4.10, $C_A(B)$ is simple and by theorem 1.1.10, $B \otimes_F C_A(B)$ is simple. Hence the map $B \otimes_F C_A(B) \rightarrow A$ induced by $B \hookrightarrow A$ and $C_A(B) \hookrightarrow A$ is injective. By corollary 1.1.8, we only need to show $\dim_F B \otimes_F C_A(B) = \dim_F A$ which is precisely lemma 3.4.11. \square

Theorem 3.4.13 (Double Centralizer). Let $S \subseteq A$ be a simple F -subalgebra, we have

$$C_A(C_A(S)) = S.$$

Proof. It is straightforward that $S \leq C_A(C_A(S))$. By lemma 3.4.10, $C_A(S)$ is simple, hence $\dim_F C_A(C_A(S)) \cdot \dim_F C_A(S) = \dim_F A = \dim_F C_A(S) \cdot \dim_F S$ (by applying lemma 3.4.11 twice), i.e. $\dim_F C_A(C_A(S)) = \dim_F S$. This equality of dimension gives us the desired result. \square

Chapter 4

Brauer Group

4.1 Construction of Brauer Group

Let K be a field. We denote the class of finite dimensional central simple K -algebras as \mathbf{CSA}_K . When K is clear, we drop the subscript.

Remark 4.1.1. By lemma 1.1.9 and theorem 1.1.10, \mathbf{CSA} is closed under tensor product, that is if $A, B \in \mathbf{CSA}$, we have $A \otimes_K B \in \mathbf{CSA}$ as well.

Definition 4.1.1 (Brauer Equivalence). For any two $A, B \in \mathbf{CSA}$, we say A and B are Brauer equivalent, when there exists $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\text{Mat}_m(A) \cong \text{Mat}_n(B)$ as K -algebras. We denote this relation as $A \sim_{\text{Br}_K} B$, when K is clear, we drop the subscript.

Remark 4.1.2. Isomorphic K -algebras are Brauer equivalent.

Lemma 4.1.3. \sim_{Br} is reflexive.

Proof. Indeed, $A \cong \text{Mat}_1(A)$ as K -algebras. □

Lemma 4.1.4. \sim_{Br} is symmetric.

Proof. Indeed, just exchange m and n . □

Lemma 4.1.5. \sim_{Br} is transitive.

Proof. Let $A \sim_{\text{Br}} B$ and $B \sim_{\text{Br}} C$; that is for some $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ we have $\text{Mat}_n(A) \cong \text{Mat}_m(B)$ and $\text{Mat}_p(B) \cong \text{Mat}_q(C)$ as K -algebras. Hence, from construction 3.1.3, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}_{np}(A) &\cong \text{Mat}_p(\text{Mat}_n(A)) \cong \text{Mat}_p(\text{Mat}_m(B)) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{mp}(B) \cong \text{Mat}_m(\text{Mat}_p(B)) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_m(\text{Mat}_q(C)) \cong \text{Mat}_{mq}(C). \end{aligned}$$

In another word, $A \sim_{\text{Br}} C$. □

Hence \sim_{Br} is really an equivalence relation, we denote the quotient $\mathbf{CSA}/\sim_{\text{Br}}$ as $\text{Br}(K)$.

Lemma 4.1.6. $(\bullet \otimes_K \bullet) : \mathbf{CSA} \times \mathbf{CSA} \rightarrow \mathbf{CSA}$ descends to a function on $\text{Br}(K)$.

Proof. We need to prove that for all $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{CSA}$ such that $A \sim_{\text{Br}} B$ and $C \sim_{\text{Br}} D$, $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C \sim_{\text{Br}} B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} D$ as well. Suppose $\text{Mat}_m(A) \cong \text{Mat}_n(B)$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras and $\text{Mat}_p(C) \cong \text{Mat}_q(D)$, by construction 3.1.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mat}_{mp}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) &\cong \text{Mat}_m(A) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Mat}_p(C) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_n(B) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Mat}_q(D) \\ &\cong \text{Mat}_{nq}(B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} D). \end{aligned}$$

□

Construction 4.1.2 (Brauer Group). $\text{Br}(\mathbb{K})$ forms a group under $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$ with neutral element $[\mathbb{K}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$ where $A, B \in \mathbf{CSA}$ and $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}^{-1} = [A^{\text{opp}}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$. We need to prove the following properties:

1. associativity: for all $A, B, C \in \mathbf{CSA}$, $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot ([B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [C]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}) = ([A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}) \cdot [C]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$ because $A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} (B \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C) \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} B) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras.
2. neutral element: for all $A \in \mathbf{CSA}$, $[\mathbb{K}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [\mathbb{K}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$. Since $[\mathbb{K}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [\mathbb{K} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$, in construction 3.1.4, we see that $\text{Mat}_n(A) \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$, by lemma 4.1.6, $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ is Brauer equivalent to $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}$ since $\mathbb{K} \sim_{\text{Br}} \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$.
3. cancellation: for all $A \in \mathbf{CSA}$, we need $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \cdot [A^{\text{opp}}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [\mathbb{K}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$, that is we want $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{opp}} \sim_{\text{Br}} \mathbb{K}$. By construction 3.1.1, we have $A \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{End}_{\mathbb{K}} A$ which is isomorphic to $\text{Mat}_{\dim_{\mathbb{K}} A}(\mathbb{K})$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras.

Theorem 4.1.7. If \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed, $\text{Br}(\mathbb{K})$ is trivial; in particular $\text{Br}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is trivial.

Proof. We need to show that every $A \in \mathbf{CSA}$ is isomorphic to $\text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$ for some n when \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed. Indeed, by theorem 3.2.6, $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ for some division algebra D and $n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$. Since \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed and D is an integral domain and finite dimensional, the structure morphism $\rho : \mathbb{K} \rightarrow D$ is an isomorphism; therefore $A \cong \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{K})$. □

Lemma 4.1.8. Let $A, B \in \mathbf{CSA}_{\mathbb{K}}$. There exists a division \mathbb{K} -algebra D and non-zero $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \cong \text{Mat}_m(D)$ and $B \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras.

Proof. By theorem 3.2.6, we can find division algebras S_A, S_B and non-zero $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $A \cong \text{Mat}_m(S_A)$ and $B \cong \text{Mat}_n(S_B)$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras. Hence $B \sim_{\text{Br}} A \sim_{\text{Br}} \text{Mat}_n(S_A) \sim_{\text{Br}} S_A$, in another word, for some non-zero $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\text{Mat}_{\alpha}(B) \cong \text{Mat}_{\alpha'}(S_A)$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras. Hence, by theorem 3.2.7, we have that $S_A \cong S_B$ as \mathbb{K} -algebras and the lemma is proved. □

4.2 Base Change

In this section let E/\mathbb{K} be a field extension. We have seen in corollary 1.1.11 that if $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_{\mathbb{K}}$ then $E \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A \in \mathbf{CSA}_E$; therefore we have a set-theoretic function $\mathbf{CSA}_{\mathbb{K}} \rightarrow \mathbf{CSA}_E$. In this section we prove that this descends to a group homomorphism $\text{Br}(\mathbb{K}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(E)$. For brevity, if $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_{\mathbb{K}}$, we denote $E \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} A$ as A_E when this causes no confusion.

Construction 4.2.1. We will construct a series of isomorphisms (either over K or E) to arrive at the conclusion that $A \sim_{\text{Br}_K} B$ implies $A_E \sim_{\text{Br}_E} B_E$. Assume $m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 0}$ are such that $\text{Mat}_m(A) \cong \text{Mat}_n(B)$ are K -algebras. Then we do the following calculation: as E -algebras

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Mat}_m(A_E) &\cong A_E \otimes_E \text{Mat}_m(E) && \text{see construction 3.1.4} \\
&\cong A_E \otimes_E (E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K)) && \text{see †} \\
&\cong E \otimes_K (A \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K)) && \text{see ‡} \\
&\cong E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(A) && \text{see construction 3.1.4 and ††} \\
\text{Mat}_n(B_E) &\cong E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_n(B) && \text{same as the case of } A \\
\text{Mat}_m(A_E) &\cong \text{Mat}_n(B_E) && \text{see ††}
\end{aligned}$$

†: Wee need to check $\text{Mat}_m(E) \cong E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m K$ as E -algebras since construction 3.1.4 only gives a K -algebra isomorphism. If $e \in E$, then its image in $E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K)$ is $e \otimes 1$ and its image in $\text{Mat}_m(E)$ is $\text{diag}(e)$ which under the K -algebra isomorphism is mapped to $\sum_{ij} \text{diag}(e)_{ij} \cdot \delta_{ij} = e \otimes 1$.

‡: This is defined by combining two E -algebra homomorphisms

$$A_E \rightarrow A_E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K) \rightarrow E \otimes_K (A \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K))$$

and

$$E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K) \rightarrow (E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K)) \otimes_K A \rightarrow E \otimes_K (A \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K)).$$

Since $(E \otimes_K A) \otimes_E (E \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K))$ is a simple ring, this morphism is automatically injective. It is surjective as well: let $x \in E \otimes_K (A \otimes_K \text{Mat}_m(K))$, without loss of generality, assume $x = e \otimes (\alpha \otimes \delta_{ij})$ for some $e \in E$, $\alpha \in A$. Then precisely $(e \otimes \alpha) \otimes (1 \otimes \delta_{ij})$ is mapped to x .

††: a K -algebra isomorphism $A \cong B$ gives an E -algebra isomorphism $E \otimes_K A \cong E \otimes_K B$.

Thus we have a well defined function $\text{Br}(K) \rightarrow \text{Br}(E)$. We now check that this is a group homomorphism. $[K]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_K}}$ is mapped to $[E \otimes_K K]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_E}}$ but $E \otimes_K K \cong E$ as E -algebra. For $A, B \in \text{CSA}_K$, we have that $[AB]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_K}}$ is mapped to $(A \otimes_K B)_E \cong A_E \otimes_E B_E$ as E -algebras; hence $[AB]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_K}}$ and $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_K}} \cdot [B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}_K}}$ have the same image under base change.

Denote the base change morphism in construction 4.2.1 as Br_K^E .

Lemma 4.2.1. Br_K^K is identity.

Proof. If $A \in \text{CSA}$, then $A \sim_{\text{Br}} K \otimes_K A$. □

Lemma 4.2.2. Consider the tower of field extension $E/F/K$,

$$\text{Br}_K^E = \text{Br}_E^F \circ \text{Br}_K^E.$$

Proof. If $A \in \text{CSA}_K$, then $E \otimes_F (F \otimes_K A)$ is isomorphic to $E \otimes_F A$ as E -algebras. □

Corollary 4.2.3. Br forms a functor from category of field to category of abelian groups.

Proof. This is the categorical version of lemma 4.2.1 and lemma 4.2.2. □

Definition 4.2.2 (Relative Brauer Group). Let E/K be a field extension, we define the relative Brauer group $\text{Br}(E/K)$ to be the kernel of the base change morphism Br_K^E .

Remark 4.2.4. Unpacking the definition of the relative Brauer group, we see that for any $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_K$, if $E \otimes_K A \cong \text{Mat}_n(E)$ as E -algebras, then $\text{Br}_K^E([A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}) = 1$.

Definition 4.2.3 (Splitting Field). For any field extension E/K and any K -algebra A , we say E is a splitting field of A if and only if $E \otimes_K A \cong \text{Mat}_n(E)$ as E -algebras for some non-zero n . We also say E splits A or A is split by E .

Theorem 4.2.5. Let E/K be a field extension and $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_K$, E splits A if and only if $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \in \text{Br}(E/K)$.

Proof. The ‘‘only if’’ part is by definition. For the other direction, we know by definition that $\text{Mat}_n(E \otimes_K A) \cong \text{Mat}_m(E)$ as E -algebras for some non-zero m, n . By theorem 3.2.6, we find some division algebra D and non-zero natural number p such that $E \otimes_K A \cong \text{Mat}_p(D)$ as E -algebras. Thus $\text{Mat}_{pm}(E) \cong \text{Mat}_{pn}(E \otimes_K A) \cong \text{Mat}_{p^2n}(D)$ as E -algebras. By theorem 3.2.7, we conclude that $E \cong D$ as E -algebras. Hence $E \otimes_K A \cong \text{Mat}_p(E)$, in another word, E splits A . \square

Remark 4.2.6. In light of lemma 4.2.2, if K is algebraic closed then K splits any K -algebra A . Indeed, K splits A if and only if $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$ but $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$ is equal to 1.

Remark 4.2.7. If two \mathbf{CSA}_K are Brauer equivalent, in another word, $A \sim_{\text{Br}_K} B$, then E splits A if and only if E splits B . Indeed, if A and B are equivalent, then $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \in \text{Br}(E/K)$ if and only if $[B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \in \text{Br}(E/K)$.

4.3 Good Representative Lemma

In this section, let K/F be a finite dimensional field extension.

Lemma 4.3.1. Let $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ splitted by K . There exists a $B \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ such that

- $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}[B]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = 1$
- there exists F -algebra map $K \hookrightarrow B$
- $(\dim_F K)^2 = \dim_F B$.

Proof. Since K splits A , we find a non-zero natural number n such that $K \otimes_F A \cong \text{Mat}_n K \cong \text{End}_K(K^n)$ as K -algebras. We define an F -algebra map $\iota: A \rightarrow \text{End}_F(K^n)$ by

$$A \longrightarrow K \otimes_F A \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{End}_K(K^n) \xrightarrow{|\cdot|_F} \text{End}_F(K^n),$$

where $|\cdot|_F$ is restriction of scalars. Since A is simple, ι is injective, therefore $A \cong \iota(A)$ as F -algebras. Define B as $C_{\text{End}_F(K^n)}(\iota(A))$, the centralizer of the range of ι in $\text{End}_F(K^n)$. We construct an embedding $K \hookrightarrow B$ by $r \mapsto (r \cdot \bullet)$

B is a central F -algebra: if $x \in Z(B)$, then $x \in \iota(A)$ because by theorem 3.4.13, it is sufficient to prove that x is in $C_{\text{End}_F(K^n)}(B)$ which follows from the fact that $x \in Z(B)$. In fact, $x \in Z(\iota(A))$: suppose $a \in A$, we need to check $x \cdot \iota(a) = \iota(a) \cdot x$, this is the case because B is defined as the centralizer of $\iota(A)$. Since $\iota(A) \cong A$ as F -algebras, $\iota(A)$ is F -central, hence $x \in F$.

B is a simple ring: by lemma 3.4.10, it is sufficient to prove that $\iota(A)$ is a simple ring which comes from $A \cong \iota(A)$ as F -algebras.

By corollary 3.4.12, we have F-algebra isomorphism $\text{End}_F(K^n) \cong \iota(A) \otimes_F B \cong A \otimes_F B$. Since $\text{End}_F(K^n) \cong \text{Mat}_{\dim_F(K^n)}(F)$ as F-algebras, we see that $[A]_{\sim_{Br}}$ and $[B]_{\sim_{Br}}$ are inverses.

By lemma 3.4.11, $\dim_F B \cdot \dim_F \iota(A) = \dim_F B \cdot \dim_F A = \dim_F \text{End}_F(K^n) = (\dim_F(K^n))^2 = (\dim_F K \cdot \dim_K(K^n))^2 = n^2 \cdot (\dim_F K)^2$. On the other hand, since $K \otimes_F A \cong \text{Mat}_n K$, we have $\dim_F K \otimes_F A = \dim_F K \cdot \dim_F A = \dim_F \text{Mat}_n K = \dim_F K \dim_K \text{Mat}_n K = n^2 \dim_F K$. Since $\dim_F K \neq 0$, we conclude $\dim_F A = n^2$. Since $n \neq 0$ and $\dim_F(B) \cdot \dim_F(A) = n^2 \dim_F(B) = n^2(\dim_F K)^2$, we get the desired result. \square

Corollary 4.3.2. Let $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ splitted by K . There exists a $B \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ such that

- $[B]_{\sim_{Br}} = [A]_{\sim_{Br}}$
- there exists an F-algebra map $K \hookrightarrow B$
- $(\dim_F K)^2 = \dim_F B$.

Proof. Let B and $\iota : K \hookrightarrow B$ be as in lemma 4.3.1. Consider B^{opp} and $K \hookrightarrow B \rightarrow B^{\text{opp}}$. This works. \square

Theorem 4.3.3. Let $A \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$. K splits A if and only if there exists a $B \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ such that

- $[B]_{\sim_{Br}} = [A]_{\sim_{Br}}$
- there exists an F-algebra map $K \hookrightarrow B$
- $(\dim_F K)^2 = \dim_F B$.

Proof. The “if” direction is corollary 4.3.2. For the “only if” direction, let $B \in \mathbf{CSA}_F$ and $\iota : K \hookrightarrow B$ be given. We give B a K -module structure by right multiplication, that is for any $a \in K$ and $b \in B$, we define $a \cdot b := b \cdot \iota(a)$. Since B is a finite dimensional F-vector space and K/F is a finite dimensional field extension, B is a finite dimensional K -vector space as well. Since $[B]_{\sim_{Br}} = [A]_{\sim_{Br}}$, it is sufficient to show that K splits B . We define an F-bilinear map $\mu : K \rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{End}_K B$ by $(c, a) \mapsto (c \cdot a \cdot \bullet)$ which induce an F-linear map $\mu' : K \otimes_F B \rightarrow \text{End}_K B$. Since for any $r, c \in K$ and $a \in B$, we have $\mu'(r \cdot c \otimes a)(a') = aa' \iota(rc) = aa' \iota(c) \iota(r) = r \cdot \mu'(c \otimes a)$, that is μ' is K -linear as well. Note that

$$\mu'(1) = \mu'(1 \otimes 1) = (1 \cdot 1 \cdot \bullet) = 1$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu'(c \otimes a \cdot c' \otimes a')(a'') &= \mu'(cc' \otimes aa')(a'') \\ &= cc' \cdot aa' \cdot a'' \\ &= aa' a'' \iota(cc') \\ &= a(a' a'' \iota(c')) \iota(c) \quad , \\ &= \mu'(c \otimes a)(a' a'' \iota(c')) \\ &= \mu'(c \otimes a)(\mu'(c' \otimes a')(a'')) \\ &= (\mu'(c \otimes a) \circ \mu'(c' \otimes a'))(a'') \end{aligned}$$

that is, μ' is an K -algebra map.

If we can show that μ' is a bijection, we will prove the result for $K \otimes_F B \cong \text{End}_K B \cong \text{Mat}_{\dim_K B} K$ as K -algebras. By corollary 1.1.8, it is sufficient to show $\dim_K K \otimes_F B = \dim_K \text{End}_K B$.

Let n denote $\dim_F K$. Since, $\dim_F K \dim_K K \otimes_F B = \dim_F K \otimes_F B = \dim_F K \dim_F B$. we have $\dim_K K \otimes_F B = \dim_F B = (\dim_F K)^2$. On the other hand, since $(\dim_F K)^2 = \dim_F B = \dim_F K \dim_K B$, we have $\dim_K B = \dim_F K$; thus $\dim_K \text{End}_K B = (\dim_K B)^2 = (\dim_F K)^2$ and the result is proved. \square

In light of theorem 4.3.3, we isolate the following useful definition:

Definition 4.3.1 (Good Representation). For any $X \in \text{Br}(F)$, a K -good representation of X is an $A \in \text{CSA}_F$ and an F -algebra map $K \hookrightarrow A$ such that $[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = X$ and $\dim_F A = (\dim_F K)^2$. We often denote the F -algebra map $K \hookrightarrow A$ as ι or ι_A .

When K is clear from context, we will simply say good representation instead of K -good representation

Corollary 4.3.4. For any $X \in \text{Br}(F)$, $X \in \text{Br}(K/F)$ if and only if X admits a good representation.

Proof. Rephrase of theorem 4.3.3 and theorem 4.2.5. \square

4.3.1 Basic Properties

We observe the following easy result about good representations. Let $X \in \text{Br}(F)$ and A be a good representation of X .

Lemma 4.3.5. The range $\iota_A(A)$ is a simple ring.

Proof. Because K is a simple ring, ι_A is injective therefore $\iota_A(A) \cong K$. \square

Lemma 4.3.6. $C_A(\iota_A(A)) = \iota_A(A)$.

Proof. In the language of section 1.2, $\iota_A(A)$ is a subfield of A , hence by lemma 1.2.3, we only need to show $\dim_F A = (\dim_F \iota_A(A))^2$. But $\dim_F A = (\dim_F K)^2$ and $\iota(A) \cong K$. \square

Construction 4.3.2. We give A a K -module structure by left multiplication, that is for any $c \in K$ and $a \in A$, we define $c \cdot a$ to be $\iota_A(c)a$. Note that if $c \in F$ then $\iota_A(c)a = c \cdot a$, in another word, the K -action and the F -action on A are compatible. Then A is a finite dimensional K -vector space and $\dim_K A = \dim_F K$: indeed $\dim_F K \cdot \dim_K A = \dim_F K \cdot \dim_F K = \dim_F A$.

Lemma 4.3.7. If A and B are two good representations of X , then $A \cong B$ as F -algebras.

Proof. By lemma 4.1.8, we find a division F -algebra D and non-zero natural numbers m, n such that $A \cong \text{Mat}_m(D)$ and $B \cong \text{Mat}_n(D)$ as F -algebras. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} (\dim_F K)^2 = \dim_F A &= m^2 \dim_F D \\ &= \dim_F B = n^2 \dim_F D. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $m = n$ and $A \cong \text{Mat}_m D = \text{Mat}_n D \cong B$. \square

4.3.2 Conjugation Factors and Conjugation Sequences

In this section, let K/F be a field extension, $X \in \text{Br}(F)$ and A be a K -good representation of X .

Remark 4.3.8. Since $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ acts on K^* , for $x \in K^*$, we feel free to write $\sigma \cdot x$ when it feels more readable than $\sigma(x)$, for example when there are nested brackets.

Definition 4.3.3 (Conjugation Factor). With respect to A , a conjugation factor of σ is a unit $x_\sigma \in A^*$ such that for all $c \in K$,

$$x_\sigma \iota_A(c) x_\sigma^{-1} = \iota_A(\sigma \cdot c).$$

A conjugation sequence is a sequence $x : \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow A^*$ such that for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, x_σ is a conjugation factor of σ . When we want to stress A , we say A -conjugation factor and A -conjugation sequence.

Remark 4.3.9. When x_σ is a conjugation factor of σ , the equalities $x_\sigma \iota_A(c) = x_\sigma \iota_A(\sigma(c))$ and $\iota_A(c) x_\sigma^{-1} = x_\sigma^{-1} \iota_A(\sigma(c))$ are also useful.

Construction 4.3.4. A has a conjugation sequence: let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, we have two F -algebra homomorphisms $K \rightarrow A$ given by ι_A and $\iota_A \circ \sigma$. Applying theorem 3.3.4 to ι_A and $\iota_A \circ \sigma$ gives us the desired conjugation factor.

Construction 4.3.5. If x is a conjugation factor of σ and y of τ , then xy is a conjugation factor of $\sigma\tau$. For any $c \in K$

$$\iota_A(\sigma \cdot \tau(c)) = x \iota_A(\tau \cdot c) x^{-1} = xy \iota_A(c) y^{-1} x^{-1} = (xy) \iota_A(xy)^{-1}.$$

Theorem 4.3.10. If x is an A -conjugation sequence, then $\{x_\sigma | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is an K -linearly independent set. When K/F is finite dimensional and Galois, $\{x_\sigma | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for A .

Proof. Suppose $\{x_\sigma\}$ is linearly dependent. Let $J \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/F)$ be such that $\{x_\sigma | \sigma \in J\}$ is a maximally linearly independent subset. Then $J \neq \text{Gal}(K/F)$, let $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ be an arbitrary automorphism that is not in J . Since $\{x_\tau | \tau \in J\}$ is maximally linearly independent, $x_\sigma \in \langle x_\tau | \tau \in J \rangle$. Hence, by construction 4.3.2 we have

$$x_\sigma = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \lambda_\tau \cdot x_\tau = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \iota_A(\lambda_\tau) x_\tau,$$

for some non-zero $\lambda_\tau \in K$ and $J' \subseteq J$. For each $c \in K$, we have the following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_A(\sigma \cdot c) x_\sigma &= x_\sigma \iota_A(c) && \text{by definition 4.3.3} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J'} \lambda_\tau \cdot x_\tau \iota_A(c) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J'} \lambda_\tau \cdot \iota_A(\tau \cdot c) x_\tau && \text{by definition 4.3.3 again} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J'} \iota_A(\lambda_\tau \tau(c)) x_\tau; \\ \iota_A(\sigma \cdot c) x_\sigma &= \sum_{\tau \in J'} \iota_A(\lambda_\tau) x_\tau \iota_A(c) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J'} \iota_A(\sigma(c) \lambda_\tau) x_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\{\lambda_\tau | \tau \in J'\}$ is linearly independent, we have that for each $\tau \in J'$, $\lambda_\tau \tau(\mathbf{c}) = \sigma(\mathbf{c})\lambda_\tau = \lambda_\tau \sigma(\mathbf{c})$. Note that J' is not empty, for otherwise $\lambda_\sigma = \sum_{\tau \in \emptyset} \lambda_\tau \cdot \lambda_\tau = 0$ but λ_σ is invertible. Since for any $\tau \in J'$, λ_τ is not zero, we have that for all $\mathbf{c} \in K$, $\sigma(\mathbf{c}) = \tau(\mathbf{c})$, i.e. $\sigma = \tau$. Hence σ is in $J' \subseteq J$ after all; contradiction.

If K/F is finite dimensional and Galois, then $\dim_F K$ is equal to the cardinality of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$, then by the linear independence of $\{\lambda_\sigma | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$, we conclude that it is indeed a K -basis for A . \square

4.4 The Second Galois Cohomology

In this section, we construct a group isomorphism between $\text{Br}(K/F) \cong H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ where K/F is a finite dimensional Galois extension. To keep alignment of the Brauer group, let us use the multiplicative notation for group cohomology. Recall:

Definition 4.4.1 (the Second Group Cohomology). Let G be a group and M an abelian group (written multiplicatively) with a G -action.

A function $f : G \times G \rightarrow M$ is a 2-cocycle if for all $g, h, j \in G$,

$$f(gh, j)f(g, h) = (g \cdot f(h, j))f(g, hj).$$

We denote the subgroup of 2-cocycles as $\mathcal{Z}^2(G, M)$.

A function $f : G \times G \rightarrow M$ is a 2-coboundary if there exists an $\chi : G \rightarrow M$ such that for all $g, h \in G$

$$\frac{g \cdot \chi(h)}{\chi(gh)} \chi(g) = f(g, h).$$

We denote the subgroup of 2-coboundaries as $\mathcal{B}^2(G, M)$.

The second group cohomology $H^2(G, M)$ is defined to be the quotient group of 2-cocycles modulo 2-coboundaries $\mathcal{Z}^2(G, M) / \mathcal{B}^2(G, M)$. If $s, t \in \mathcal{Z}^2(G, M)$, we say s and t are cohomologous if their equivalence class $[s], [t] \in H^2(G, M)$ are the same; in another word $st^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}^2(G, M)$.

Lemma 4.4.1. If $f \in \mathcal{B}^2(G, M)$ is a 2-cocycle and $\chi \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(1, \chi) &= f(1, 1) \\ f(\chi, 1) &= \chi \cdot f(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1 \cdot 1, \chi)f(1, 1) &= (1 \cdot f(1, \chi))f(1, 1 \cdot \chi) \\ f(1, \chi)f(1, 1) &= f(1, \chi)f(1, \chi) \\ f(1, \chi) &= f(1, 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f(\chi \cdot 1, 1)f(\chi, 1) &= (\chi \cdot f(1, 1))f(\chi, 1 \cdot 1) \\ f(\chi, 1)f(\chi, 1) &= (\chi \cdot f(1, 1))f(\chi, 1) \\ f(\chi, 1) &= \chi \cdot f(1, 1). \end{aligned}$$

\square

In the following sections of this chapter, we assume that $X \in \text{Br}(F)$ and A is a good representation of X . We use ρ, σ, τ to denote elements of $\text{Gal}(K/F)$. To improve typographic aesthetics of our proofs, we sometimes use subscript to mean function application.

4.4.1 From $\text{Br}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F})$ to $H^2(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}), \mathbb{K}^*)$

Lemma 4.4.2 (Twisting Conjugation Factors). If x and y are two conjugation factors of σ , then there exists a unique $c \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $x = y\iota_A(c)$.

Proof. The uniqueness is clear: suppose $x = y\iota_A(c) = y\iota_A(c')$, then $c = c'$ because x, y are units and ι_A is injective. We first observe that $y^{-1}x \in C_A(\iota(A))$: for any $z \in \mathbb{K}$, $y^{-1}x\iota_A(z) = y^{-1}\iota_A(\sigma(z))x = \iota_A(z)y^{-1}x$ (by remark 4.3.9). By lemma 4.3.6, $y^{-1}x \in \iota(A)$, that is for some $z \in \mathbb{K}$, we have that $y^{-1}x = \iota_A(z)$ and the claim is proved. \square

We denote such c by $\text{twist}^\sigma(x, y)$ or $\text{twist}_{x, y}^\sigma$, when σ is clear from context, we often omit the superscript. With this notation, $x = y\iota_A(\text{twist}_{x, y})$.

Remark 4.4.3. $\text{twist}(x, x)$ is equal to 1 by uniqueness.

Remark 4.4.4. In fact, $\text{twist}(x, y)$ is in \mathbb{K}^* and $\text{twist}(x, y)^{-1} = \text{twist}(y, x)$.

Lemma 4.4.5. If x and y are conjugation factors for σ , $x = \iota_A(\sigma(\text{twist}_{x, y}))y$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= x\iota_A(\text{twist}_{x, y})x^{-1}\iota_A(\text{twist}_{y, x}) \\ &= \iota_A(\sigma \cdot \text{twist}_{x, y})x\iota_A(\text{twist}_{y, x}) \\ &= \iota_A(\sigma \cdot \text{twist}_{x, y})y \end{aligned}$$

\square

Construction 4.4.2 (Comparing Conjugation Factors). Let x be a conjugation factor for σ , y for τ and z for $\sigma\tau$. Since xy is also a conjugation factor, we define the comparison coefficient to be $\text{comp}_{x, y, z}^{\sigma, \tau} := \sigma(\tau(\text{twist}_{xy, z}))$. We often omit superscript when the context is clear. Note that $\text{comp}_{x, y, z}$ is a unit in \mathbb{K} with inverse $\sigma(\tau(\text{twist}_{z, xy}))$. By lemma 4.4.2 and lemma 4.4.5, we have the following useful equalities

$$\begin{aligned} xy &= \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x, y, z})z \\ \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x, y, z}^{-1})xy &= z \\ \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x, y, z}) &= xyz^{-1} \\ \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x, y, z}^{-1}) &= zy^{-1}x^{-1} \\ \dots &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.4.6. Let $x : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow A^*$ be a conjugation sequence. We have

$$\text{comp}_{x_\rho, x_\sigma, x_{\rho\sigma}} \text{comp}_{x_{\rho\sigma}, x_\tau, x_{\rho\sigma\tau}} = \rho(\text{comp}_{x_\sigma, x_\tau, x_{\sigma\tau}}) \text{comp}_{x_\rho, x_{\sigma\tau}, x_{\rho\sigma\tau}}.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to make the following calculations:

$$x_\rho x_\sigma x_\tau = \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x_\rho, x_\sigma, x_{\rho\sigma}}) \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x_{\rho\sigma}, x_\tau, x_{\rho\sigma\tau}}) x_{\rho\sigma\tau} \quad (4.1)$$

$$x_\rho(x_\sigma x_\tau) = \iota_A(\rho \cdot \text{comp}_{x_\sigma, x_\tau, x_{\sigma\tau}}) \iota_A(\text{comp}_{x_\rho, x_{\sigma\tau}, x_{\rho\sigma\tau}}) x_{\rho\sigma\tau} \quad (4.2)$$

Then since $x_{\rho\sigma\tau}$ is invertible and ι_A is injective, we proved the desired result.

Equation (4.1) is because: by the first equality in construction 4.4.2 (twice)

$$\chi_\rho \chi_\sigma \chi_\tau = \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_\rho, \chi_\sigma, \chi_{\rho\sigma}} \right) \chi_{\rho\sigma} \chi_\tau = \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_\rho, \chi_\sigma, \chi_{\rho\sigma}} \right) \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_{\rho\sigma}, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\rho\sigma\tau}} \right) \chi_{\rho\sigma\tau}.$$

Equation (4.2) is because: by definition 4.3.3, we have

$$\iota_A \left(\rho \cdot \text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \right) \chi_\rho = \chi_\rho \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \right),$$

therefore by construction 4.2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_\rho (\chi_\sigma \chi_\tau) &= \chi_\rho \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \right) \chi_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= \iota_A \left(\rho \cdot \text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \right) \chi_\rho \chi_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= \iota_A \left(\rho \cdot \text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \right) \iota_A \left(\text{comp}_{\chi_\rho, \chi_{\sigma\tau}, \chi_{\rho\sigma\tau}} \right) \chi_{\rho\sigma\tau} \end{aligned} .$$

□

Construction 4.4.3 (from good representation to 2-cocycle). Let \mathbf{x} be an A -conjugation sequence. We associate with \mathbf{x} a function $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{x}) : \text{Gal}(K/F) \times \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow K^*$ defined by

$$(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}}.$$

We will write $\mathcal{B}^2(\mathbf{x})$ as $\mathcal{B}_{A, \mathbf{x}}^2$, $\mathcal{B}_A^2(\mathbf{x})$ or $\mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}^2$ as well.

Lemma 4.4.7. For any A -conjugation sequence \mathbf{x} , $\mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}^2 \in \mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$, that is $\mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}$ is indeed a 2-cocycle.

Proof. We need to prove

$$\mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}(\rho\sigma, \tau) \mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}(\rho, \sigma) = \rho \left(\mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}(\sigma, \tau) \right) \mathcal{B}_\mathbf{x}(\rho, \sigma\tau).$$

But this is exactly lemma 4.4.6. □

For any good representation A of $X \in \text{Br}(K/F)$ and any A -conjugation sequence \mathbf{x} , we have constructed a 2-cocycle $\mathcal{B}_A^2(\mathbf{x})$. But to obtain a well-defined function from $\text{Br}(K/F)$ to $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$, we need to verify that for any other good representation B of X and B -conjugation sequence \mathbf{y} , $\mathcal{B}_A^2(\mathbf{x})$ and $\mathcal{B}_B^2(\mathbf{y})$ are cohomologous. Let us fix another good representation B of $X \in \text{Br}(K/F)$ and a B -conjugation sequence \mathbf{y} .

Construction 4.4.4. By lemma 4.3.7, A and B are isomorphic as F -algebras, we use $e_{A, B}$ to denote an arbitrary F -algebra isomorphism between A and B . When there is no confusion, we write e instead of $e_{A, B}$. Since $e \circ \iota_A$ and ι_B are two F -algebra homomorphism from K to B , by theorem 3.3.4, there exists some $u \in B^*$ such that for all $r \in K$, we have $\iota_B(r) = ue(\iota_A(r))u^{-1}$ (or equivalently, $u^{-1}\iota_B(r)u = e(\iota_A(r))$). When there is confusion, we write $u_{A, B}$ instead of u .

Lemma 4.4.8. For any $c \in K$, $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ and A -conjugation factor \mathbf{x} of σ , we have

$$\iota_B(\sigma \cdot c) = ue(\mathbf{x})u^{-1} \iota_B(c) ue(\mathbf{x}^{-1})u^{-1}.$$

Proof. From definition 4.3.3, we have $e(\iota_A(\sigma \cdot c)) = e(\chi \iota_A(c) \chi^{-1})$. Substituting it in construction 4.4.4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_B(\sigma \cdot c) &= ue(\chi \iota_A(c) \chi^{-1}) u^{-1} \\ &= ue(x) e(\iota_A(c)) e(\chi^{-1}) u^{-1} \\ &= ue(x) u^{-1} \iota_B(c) ue(\chi^{-1}) u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Construction 4.4.5. If x is an A -conjugation factor for σ , we can obtain a B -conjugation factor for σ by defining $B_*x := ue(x)u^{-1}$ with inverse $ue(\chi^{-1})u^{-1}$. We use lemma 4.4.8 to check that B_*x is indeed a conjugation factor for σ . If y is a B -conjugation factor for σ , another useful constant is $v := \sigma(\text{twist}_{y, B_*x})$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} y &= \iota_B(v) B_*x \\ \iota_B(v) &= ue(\iota_A(v)) u^{-1}. \\ v^{-1} &= \sigma(\text{twist}_{B_*x, y}) \end{aligned}$$

We also write $v_{x,y}$ or even $v_{x,y}^{A,B}$ when we stress the importance of good representation A and B and their conjugation factor x and y .

Lemma 4.4.9. Let x be an A -conjugation sequence and y a B -conjugation sequence. We have

$$\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}} v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}} = v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma} \sigma(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) \text{comp}_{x_\sigma, x_\tau, x_{\sigma\tau}}.$$

Proof. By construction 4.4.2, we have $y_\sigma y_\tau = \iota_B(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}}) y_{\sigma\tau}$. By repeated application of construction 4.4.5 and construction 4.4.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_{\sigma\tau} &= ue(\iota_A(v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}) u^{-1} \\ y_\sigma y_\tau &= ue(\iota_A(v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma}) x_\sigma \iota_A(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) x_\tau) u^{-1} \\ &= \iota_B(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}}) y_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= \iota_B(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}}) ue(\iota_A(v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}) u^{-1} \\ &= ue(\iota_A(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}})) u^{-1} ue(\iota_A(v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}) u^{-1} \\ &= ue(\iota_A(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}} v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}) u^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\iota_A(v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma}) x_\sigma \iota_A(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) x_\tau = \iota_A(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}} v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}.$$

We also have by definition 4.3.3

$$x_\sigma \iota_A(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) x_\tau = \iota_A(\sigma \cdot v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) x_\sigma x_\tau.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_A(v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma}) x_\sigma \iota_A(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) x_\tau &= \iota_A(v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma} \sigma(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau})) x_\sigma x_\tau \\ &= \iota_A(v_{x_\sigma, y_\sigma} \sigma(v_{x_\tau, y_\tau}) \text{comp}_{x_\sigma, x_\tau, x_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= \iota_A(\text{comp}_{y_\sigma, y_\tau, y_{\sigma\tau}} v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}}) x_{\sigma\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

Cancelling $x_{\sigma\tau}$ and by injectivity of ι_A , the result is proved. □

Lemma 4.4.10. Let x be an A -conjugation sequence and y a B -conjugation sequence. We have

$$\mathcal{B}_{B,y}^2(\sigma, \tau) v_{x_{\sigma\tau}, y_{\sigma\tau}} = v_{x_{\sigma}, y_{\sigma}} \sigma(v_{x_{\tau}, y_{\tau}}) \mathcal{B}_{A,y}^2(\sigma, \tau).$$

Proof. If we unfold construction 4.4.3, we discover the lemma is saying exactly lemma 4.4.9. \square

We finally arrive at our main conclusion for this section.

Corollary 4.4.11. Let x be an A -conjugation sequence and y a B -conjugation sequence. $\mathcal{B}_{A,x}^2$ and $\mathcal{B}_{B,y}^2$ are 2-cohomologous.

Proof. By definition 4.4.1, we need to find a function $f : \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow K^*$ such that for all $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$,

$$\frac{\sigma(f(\tau))}{f(\sigma\tau)} f(\sigma) = \frac{\mathcal{B}_{B,y}^2}{\mathcal{B}_{A,x}^2}.$$

Let $f(\rho) := v_{x_{\rho}, y_{\rho}}$, by lemma 4.4.10 we see the equality holds. \square

Construction 4.4.6 (from $\text{Br}(K/F)$ to $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$). Let $X \in \text{Br}(K/F)$, by corollary 4.3.4, X admits a good representation A ; by construction 4.3.4, A admits a conjugation sequence x . We associate with X an element $H^2(X) := [\mathcal{B}_{A,x}^2]$ in $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$. By corollary 4.4.11, for any other good representation B and B -conjugation sequence y , we have $[\mathcal{B}_{A,x}^2] = [\mathcal{B}_{B,y}^2]$, hence we have a well-defined function $H^2 : \text{Br}(K/F) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$.

4.4.2 Cross Product as a Central Simple Algebra

Let $\mathfrak{a} \in \mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ be any 2-cocycle. In this section, we construct the cross product associated with \mathfrak{a} which we prove to be F -central simple. Finally, we show that if $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ are cohomologous, the cross products associated with \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are Brauer equivalent.

Construction 4.4.7 (Cross product). Denote $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ to be $\text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow K$, i.e. functions from $\text{Gal}(K/F)$ to K . Notationally, elements of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ are sequences in K indexed by $\text{Gal}(K/F)$; we denote $\Delta_{\sigma,c}^{\mathfrak{a}}$ to be the sequence with value c at σ -th index and zero elsewhere. When \mathfrak{a} is clear from context, we will omit the superscript. We give $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the usual zero, addition, negation, that is, we give $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ the normal additive abelian group structure. Since for each $c \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$,

$$c = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \Delta_{\sigma, c(\sigma)},$$

it is often, if not always, sufficient to consider the special cases of $\Delta_{\sigma,c}$ and extend the result linearly. For multiplications, we define the result of multiplying $\Delta_{\sigma,c}, \Delta_{\tau,d} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ to be $\Delta_{\sigma\tau, c\sigma(d) \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau)}$. Immediately, if either c or d is 0, the result of multiplication is also zero. That is, for all $c \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we have $c \cdot 0 = 0 \cdot c = 0$. For any $r \in F$ and $\Delta_{\sigma,c} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, we define $r \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,c}$ to be $\Delta_{\sigma, r \cdot c}$.

Remark 4.4.12. When K/F is infinite dimensional, the correct definition of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is perhaps $\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} K$. But in Lean4, function type is easier to manipulate than direct sums. Since our scope is finite dimensional Galois extension, our definition is still accurate.

Lemma 4.4.13. The cross product $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a ring with the multiplicative unit $\Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$. The F -action on $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ defined by $r \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,c} := \Delta_{\sigma, r \cdot c}$ makes it an F -algebra.

Proof. We verify the axioms of rings on elements of the form $\Delta_{\sigma,c}$. Let $\sigma, \tau, \rho \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ and $a, b, c \in K$.

- associativity of multiplication. We need to check that $\Delta_{\sigma,a}(\Delta_{\tau,b}\Delta_{\rho,c}) = (\Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\tau,b})\Delta_{\rho,c}$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\sigma,a}(\Delta_{\tau,b}\Delta_{\rho,c}) &= \Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\tau\rho,b\tau(c)a(\sigma,\tau)} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma\tau\rho,a\sigma(b)\sigma(\tau(c))\sigma(a(\sigma,\tau))}; \\ (\Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\tau,b})\Delta_{\rho,c} &= \Delta_{\sigma\tau,a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau)}\Delta_{\rho,c} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma\tau\rho,a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau)\sigma(\tau(c))a(\sigma\tau,\rho)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence it is sufficient to check

$$\sigma(\tau(c))\sigma(a(\sigma,\tau)) = a(\sigma,\tau)\sigma(\tau(c))a(\sigma\tau,\rho).$$

This is the 2-cocycle condition in definition 4.4.1 (modulo commutativity of K).

- multiplicative unit: we need to check $\Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})} = \Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})}\Delta_{\sigma,a} = \Delta_{\sigma,a}$. By multiple applications of lemma 4.4.1

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}}\Delta_{\sigma,a} &= \Delta_{\sigma,a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}}a(\text{id},\sigma) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,a(\text{id},\text{id})}a(\text{id},\text{id}) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,a} \\ \Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}} &= \Delta_{\sigma,a\sigma(a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})}a(\sigma,\text{id}) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,a\sigma(a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})}a(\text{id},\text{id}) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,a}\end{aligned}$$

- distributivity: We need to check left-distributivity $\Delta_{\sigma,a}(\Delta_{\tau,b} + \Delta_{\rho,c}) = \Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\tau,b} + \Delta_{\sigma,a}\Delta_{\rho,c}$ and right distributivity $(\Delta_{\tau,b} + \Delta_{\rho,c})\Delta_{\sigma,a} = \Delta_{\tau,b}\Delta_{\sigma,a} + \Delta_{\rho,c}\Delta_{\sigma,a}$. This is precisely what “extend linearly” means.
- F-algebra: We need to check for all $r \in F$, $(r \cdot \Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}})\Delta_{\sigma,c} = \Delta_{\sigma,c}(r \cdot \Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}})$. By lemma 4.4.1

$$\begin{aligned}(r \cdot \Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}})\Delta_{\sigma,c} &= \Delta_{\text{id},r \cdot a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}}\Delta_{\sigma,c} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,(r \cdot a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})}c a(\text{id},\sigma) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,(r \cdot a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})}c a(\text{id},\text{id}) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,r \cdot c} \\ \Delta_{\sigma,c}(r \cdot \Delta_{\text{id},a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}}) &= \Delta_{\sigma,c}\Delta_{\text{id},r \cdot a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,c\sigma(r \cdot a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})}a(\sigma,\text{id}) \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,c(r \cdot \sigma(a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}))}a(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,c(r \cdot 1)} \\ &= \Delta_{\sigma,r \cdot c}.\end{aligned}$$

□

From now on, we feel free to write $1 \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ instead of $\Delta_{\text{id}, a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$. Then the algebra map $F \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{C}_a$ is the map $r \mapsto r \cdot 1$.

Construction 4.4.8 (K-embedding). The map $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a} : K \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_a$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{b} \mapsto \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{b}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$$

is an F-algebra map. Checking that $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}$ preserves 1, multiplication and addition uses nothing but axioms of ring. For any $r \in F$, we need to check $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}(r) = r \cdot 1$. Indeed $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}(r) = \Delta_{\text{id}, r \cdot a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$ and $r \cdot 1 = r \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}} = \Delta_{\text{id}, r \cdot a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$. When the context is clear, we also write ι_a instead of $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}$. We give \mathfrak{C}_a a K-module structure by left-multiplication, that is for any $\mathfrak{b} \in K$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_a$, we define $\mathfrak{b} \cdot \mathfrak{c} := \iota_a(\mathfrak{b})\mathfrak{c}$.

We note the following useful equality: for any $\mathfrak{b} \in K$

$$\mathfrak{b} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}} = \iota_a(\mathfrak{b})\Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}} = \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}},$$

indeed: $\iota_a(\mathfrak{b})\Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}} = \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{b}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}\Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}} = \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{b}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}ca(\text{id}, \sigma)} = \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{b}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}ca(\text{id}, \text{id})} = \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}}$ by lemma 4.4.1. In another word, for any $\mathfrak{b} \in F$ and $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_a$, the K-action of \mathfrak{b} on \mathfrak{c} and the F-action of \mathfrak{b} on \mathfrak{c} agree.

Lemma 4.4.14. For every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, $\Delta_{\sigma, 1}$ is invertible.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\Delta_{\sigma, 1}$ has a left inverse and right inverse. The left inverse of $\Delta_{\sigma, 1}$ is

$$\Delta_{\sigma^{-1}, a(\sigma^{-1}, \sigma)^{-1}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}.$$

Indeed, for any $\mathfrak{a} \in K$, we have

$$\Delta_{\sigma^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}}\Delta_{\sigma, 1} = \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{a}a(\sigma^{-1}, \sigma)},$$

hence substitute $\mathfrak{a} = a(\sigma^{-1}, \sigma)^{-1}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}$, we see the right hand side is $\Delta_{\text{id}, a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$ which is precisely $1 \in \mathfrak{C}_a$. The right inverse is

$$\Delta_{\sigma^{-1}, \sigma^{-1}(a(\sigma, \sigma^{-1})^{-1}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1})}.$$

Indeed, for any $\mathfrak{a} \in K$, we have

$$\Delta_{\sigma, 1}\Delta_{\sigma^{-1}, \mathfrak{a}} = \Delta_{\text{id}, \sigma(\mathfrak{a})a(\sigma, \sigma^{-1})},$$

hence substitute $\mathfrak{a} = \sigma^{-1}(a(\sigma, \sigma^{-1})^{-1}a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1})$, the right hand side is again $\Delta_{\text{id}, a(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}$ which is precisely $1 \in \mathfrak{C}_a$. \square

Lemma 4.4.15. For any $\mathfrak{c} \in K$, we have

$$\Delta_{\sigma, 1}\iota_a(\mathfrak{c}) = \iota_a(\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{c})\Delta_{\sigma, 1} = \Delta_{\sigma, \sigma \cdot \mathfrak{c}}$$

and consequently,

$$\Delta_{\sigma, 1}\iota_a(\mathfrak{c})\Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{-1} = \iota_a(\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{c}).$$

Proof. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\sigma,1}\iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{c}) &= \Delta_{\sigma,1}\Delta_{\text{id},\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a}(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1}} \\
&= \Delta_{\sigma,\sigma(\mathfrak{c}\mathfrak{a}(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})\mathfrak{a}(\sigma,1)} \\
&= \Delta_{\sigma,\sigma(\mathfrak{c})\sigma(\mathfrak{a}(\text{id},\text{id})^{-1})\sigma(\mathfrak{a}(\text{id},\text{id}))} \\
&= \Delta_{\sigma,\sigma(\mathfrak{c})}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4.16. We have $\Delta_{\sigma,1}\Delta_{\tau,1} = \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{a}(\sigma,\tau))\Delta_{\sigma\tau,1} = \mathfrak{a}(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}$. Consequently we have for any $\mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d} \in \mathfrak{K}$,

$$\Delta_{\sigma,\mathfrak{c}}\Delta_{\tau,\mathfrak{d}} = (\mathfrak{c}\sigma(\mathfrak{d})\mathfrak{a}(\sigma,\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}.$$

Proof. The first equality is in construction 4.4.8. For the second equality, by lemma 4.4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{\sigma,\mathfrak{c}}\Delta_{\tau,\mathfrak{d}} &= (\mathfrak{c} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1})(\mathfrak{d} \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}) \\
&= \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{c})(\Delta_{\sigma,1}\iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(\mathfrak{d}))\Delta_{\tau,1} \\
&= \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}\Delta_{\sigma,\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{d}}\Delta_{\tau,1} \\
&= \mathfrak{c} \cdot \sigma(\mathfrak{d}) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}\Delta_{\tau,1} \\
&= \mathfrak{c} \cdot \sigma(\mathfrak{d}) \cdot \mathfrak{a}(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.4.17. The set $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mid \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})\}$ forms a \mathfrak{K} -basis for $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$.

Proof. Suppose some linear combination $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}$ is 0 for some λ_{σ} 's in \mathfrak{K} . We have, by the equality in construction 4.4.8

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1} = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})} \Delta_{\sigma,\lambda_{\sigma}} = 0.$$

Thus, for any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})$, we have

$$\left(\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1} \right) (\tau) = 0 = \lambda_{\tau},$$

which proves linear independence. The fact that $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mid \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F})\}$ spans $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is easy to see because every $\Delta_{\tau,\mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{a} \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}$ is certainly in the span. □

Corollary 4.4.18. When $\mathfrak{K}/\mathfrak{F}$ is a finite dimensional Galois extension, the \mathfrak{K} -dimension of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is $\dim_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{K}$ and the \mathfrak{F} -dimension of $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is $(\dim_{\mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{K})^2$.

Now we see that cross product, like a good representation, is a \mathfrak{K} -module and \mathfrak{F} -algebra with a \mathfrak{K} -embedding and correct \mathfrak{F} -dimension. In the next sections, we prove that $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is in fact a central simple \mathfrak{F} -algebra.

Central Algebra

We will assume K/F is a finite dimensional Galois extension.

Theorem 4.4.19 (Centrality). \mathfrak{C}_a is a central F -algebra.

Proof. Let $z \in \mathfrak{C}_a$ that is in the centre. We want to prove that z is in F . We write z as $\sum_{\sigma} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}$. We see that, for any $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, we have

$$z = \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau} \cdot \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1}.$$

Therefore for any $d \in K$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, by lemma 4.4.16 and lemma 4.4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z \Delta_{\tau,d} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1} \Delta_{\tau,d} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \lambda_{\sigma} \cdot \sigma(d) \cdot \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} (\lambda_{\sigma} \sigma(d) \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1} \\ \Delta_{\tau,d} z &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \Delta_{\tau,d} (\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau} \cdot \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} \Delta_{\tau,d} \iota_a(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} d \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1} \iota_a(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} d \cdot \Delta_{\tau,\tau \cdot \lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}} \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} d \cdot \tau(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1} \Delta_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} d \cdot \tau(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \cdot \mathfrak{a}(\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)} (d\tau(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \mathfrak{a}(\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}. \end{aligned}$$

By lemma 4.4.17, for any $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ and $d \in K$, we have that

$$\lambda_{\sigma} \sigma(d) \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau) = d\tau(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \mathfrak{a}(\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau). \quad (4.3)$$

In particular, with $d = 1$, we have

$$\lambda_{\sigma} \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau) = \tau(\lambda_{\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau}) \mathfrak{a}(\tau, \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau),$$

we substitute back into eq. (4.3) and get

$$\lambda_{\sigma} \sigma(d) \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau) = d\lambda_{\sigma} \mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau).$$

With $\tau = \text{id}$, we have

$$\lambda_\sigma \sigma(\mathbf{d}) \mathbf{a}(\sigma, \text{id}) = \mathbf{d} \lambda_\sigma \mathbf{a}(\sigma, \text{id}),$$

Hence for all $\mathbf{d} \in \mathbf{K}$ with $\lambda_\sigma \neq 0$, we have $\sigma(\mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$. We immediately deduce that for all $\sigma \neq \text{id}$, $\lambda_\sigma = 0$ by contraposition. Thus $z = \lambda_{\text{id}} \Delta_{\text{id}, 1} = \Delta_{\text{id}, \lambda_{\text{id}}} = \iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})) = (\lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})) \cdot 1$. Consequently, to prove z is in F , it is sufficient to prove that $\lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})$ is in F . Since \mathbf{K}/F is finite dimensional and Galois, we only need to prove that $\lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})$ is fixed by every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{K}/F)$. Indeed, with $\mathbf{d} = 1$ and $\sigma = \text{id}$ in eq. (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \tau) &= \tau(\lambda_{\text{id}}) \mathbf{a}(\tau, \text{id}) \\ &= \lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id}) \\ &= \tau(\lambda_{\text{id}}) \tau(\mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})) \\ &= \tau(\lambda_{\text{id}} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})). \end{aligned}$$

□

Simple Ring

In this section we assume \mathbf{K}/F is a finite dimensional field extension. Let $I \subseteq \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ be a two sided ideal, we aim to show that either $I = \{0\}$ or $I = \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$. In this section, we use π to denote the canonical ring homomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$. We restrict π to $\pi|_{\text{im}(\iota_{\mathbf{a}})} : \text{im}(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$ and denote the range of $\pi|_{\text{im}(\iota_{\mathbf{a}})}$ to be Π .

Construction 4.4.9. The quotient ring $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$ is a Π -module defined by $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y}) := \pi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y})$. We first check that the Π -action is well-defined:

- Independence of \mathbf{a} : Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ be such that $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}))$, that is, $\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \in I$. Since I is a two sided ideal, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y} = (\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \cdot \mathbf{y} = \iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{b}) \mathbf{y}$ is also in I . This proves $\pi(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}) = \pi(\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{y})$.
- Independence of \mathbf{y} : Let $\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ be such that $\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2 \in I$, then for any $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{K}$, $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{y}_2 = \iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2)$ is in I because I is a two sided ideal. This proves $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y}_1) = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y}_2)$.

Then we check the axioms of module:

- Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$, we check that $1 \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y}) = \pi(\mathbf{y})$ and $0 \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y}) = 0$. This is because $\Pi \ni 1 = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(1))$ and $\Pi \ni 0 = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(0))$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{K}$, $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot 0 = 0$ because $0 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$ is equal to $\pi(0)$.
- Let $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbf{K}$ and $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$, we check $(\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) + \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b}))) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x}) = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x}) + \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot (\pi(\mathbf{x}) + \pi(\mathbf{y})) = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x}) + \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{y})$. These are true because π preserves addition. Similarly $\pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a})) \cdot \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{b})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x}) = \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{ab})) \cdot \pi(\mathbf{x})$ because π preserves multiplication as well.

Hence $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$ is also a \mathbf{K} -module by pulling back the Π -module structure along $\mathbf{K} \rightarrow \Pi$ given by $\mathbf{a} \mapsto \pi(\iota_{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{a}))$. Note that π is a \mathbf{K} -linear map between $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$ by this construction.

Lemma 4.4.20. If $I \neq \mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}$, the set $\{\pi(\Delta_{\sigma, 1}) \mid \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{K}/F)\}$ forms a \mathbf{K} -basis for $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathbf{a}}/I$.

Proof. It is easy to see that the set spans \mathfrak{C}_a/I because $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1}|\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ spans \mathfrak{C}_a (lemma 4.4.17). For linear-independence, the idea is the same as in the proof of theorem 4.3.10. We repeat the argument here.

Suppose that $\{\pi(\Delta_{\text{sigma},1})|\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is linearly dependent. Let $J \subseteq \text{Gal}(K/F)$ be such that $\{\pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1})|\sigma \in J\}$ is the maximally linearly independent set. Let σ be an arbitrary automorphism that is not in J . Therefore, we have $\pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) \in \langle \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1})|\tau \in J \rangle$. Hence we have, by construction 4.4.9 and construction 4.4.8

$$\pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \lambda_{\tau} \cdot \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau})) \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau}) \Delta_{\tau,1}) = \sum_{\tau \in J'} \pi(\lambda_{\tau} \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}).$$

for some non-zero $\lambda_{\tau} \in K$ and some $J' \subseteq J$. Hence, for any $c \in K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\iota_a(\sigma \cdot c)) \pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) &= \pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) \pi(\iota_a(c)) && \text{by lemma 4.4.15} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau})) \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) \pi(\iota_a(c)) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau}) \Delta_{\tau,1} \iota_a(c)) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau}) \iota_a(\tau \cdot c) \Delta_{\tau,1}) && \text{by lemma 4.4.15 again} \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau} \tau(c))) \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} (\lambda_{\tau} \tau(c)) \cdot \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) \\ \pi(\iota_a(\sigma \cdot c)) \pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\sigma \cdot c)) \pi(\iota_a(\lambda_{\tau})) \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} \pi(\iota_a(\sigma(c) \lambda_{\tau})) \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}) \\ &= \sum_{\tau \in J} (\sigma(c) \lambda_{\tau}) \cdot \pi(\Delta_{\tau,1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since, $\{\pi(\Delta_{\tau,1})|\tau \in J\}$ is linearly independent, for all $c \in K$ and $\tau \in J$, we have that $\lambda_{\tau} \tau(c) = \sigma(c) \lambda_{\tau}$. Note that $J' \neq \emptyset$, otherwise, $\pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}) = 0$ implying that $\Delta_{\sigma,1} \in I$ which by lemma 4.4.14 is invertible but I does not equal to \mathfrak{C}_a . Hence for each $\tau \in J'$, we have that for all $c \in K$, since λ_{τ} is not zero, $\sigma(c) = \tau(c)$, i.e. $\sigma = \tau$. Therefore, σ is in $J' \subseteq J$ after all. \square

Corollary 4.4.21. If $I \neq \mathfrak{C}_a$, the quotient ring \mathfrak{C}_a/I is isomorphic to \mathfrak{C}_a as K -modules. In particular π is a K -linear isomorphism between \mathfrak{C}_a and the quotient ring \mathfrak{C}_a/I .

Proof. Indeed, by lemma 4.4.17, $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1}|\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for \mathfrak{C}_a ; and by lemma 4.4.20, $\{\pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1})|\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for \mathfrak{C}_a/I . The two sets obviously biject. Hence we can define a K -linear isomorphism by $\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mapsto \pi(\Delta_{\sigma,1})$. This isomorphism is equal to π everywhere. \square

Corollary 4.4.22 (Simple Ring). \mathfrak{C}_a is a simple ring.

Proof. For any two-sided-ideal I that is not equal to \mathfrak{C}_a , by corollary 4.4.21, $\pi : \text{cross}_a \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_a/I$ is a K -linear isomorphism, therefore I is equal to 0. \square

Theorem 4.4.23. Let K/F be a finite dimensional and Galois field extension and \mathfrak{a} be a 2-cocycle in $\mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ is a finite dimensional central simple F -algebra.

Proof. Theorem 4.4.19, lemma 4.4.17 and corollary 4.4.22. \square

4.4.3 From $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ to $\text{Br}(K/F)$

For every 2-cocycle \mathfrak{a} , we have defined the cross product $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and proved that it is indeed a finite dimensional central simple F -algebra in theorem 4.4.23; that is we have a function from $\mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ to CSA_F . If we want a function from $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ to $\text{Br}(K/F)$, we need to show that if \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} are cohomologous, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ are Brauer equivalent. We state it as a theorem:

Theorem 4.4.24. If K/F is a finite dimensional and Galois field extension, the function $\mathfrak{C} : H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \rightarrow \text{Br}(K/F)$ defined by

$$\mathfrak{a} \mapsto [\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$$

is well-defined.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two cohomologous 2-cocycles. By definition 4.4.1, for some $\mathfrak{c} : \text{Gal}(K/F) \rightarrow K^*$, for all $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma(\mathfrak{c}(\tau))}{\mathfrak{c}(\sigma\tau)} \mathfrak{c}(\sigma) = \frac{\mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau)}{\mathfrak{b}(\sigma, \tau)}. \quad (4.4)$$

Let us denote A to be the K -basis $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1}^{\mathfrak{a}} | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ for $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and B to be the K -basis $\{\mathfrak{c}(\sigma) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}^{\mathfrak{b}} | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ for $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. We immediately have a K -linear isomorphism $\phi : \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \cong \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ by mapping A to B . Since the K -action on $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ agrees with the F -action on them (construction 4.4.8), ϕ is also an F -linear isomorphism. We check that $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$:

1. preservation of one: with $\sigma = \tau = \text{id}$ in eq. (4.4), we have $\mathfrak{c}(\text{id}) = \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})\mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &= \phi\left(\Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \\ &= \phi\left(\mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^{\mathfrak{a}}\right) \\ &= \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{c}(\text{id}) \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \\ &= \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \mathfrak{c}(\text{id}) \cdot \mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id}) \cdot \mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \\ &= (\mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \mathfrak{c}(\text{id}) \mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})) \cdot (\mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}}) \\ &= (\mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \mathfrak{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})) \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}} \\ &= \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathfrak{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

2. preservation of multiplication: let $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ and $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \in K$, we need to prove that $\phi(\Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{a}} \Delta_{\tau, \mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{a}}) = \phi(\Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{a}}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \phi(\Delta_{\tau, \mathfrak{b}}^{\mathfrak{a}})$. From eq. (4.4), we see that

$$\sigma(\mathfrak{c}(\tau))\mathfrak{c}(\sigma)\mathfrak{b}(\sigma, \tau) = \mathfrak{c}(\sigma\tau)\mathfrak{a}(\sigma, \tau).$$

Hence, by lemma 4.4.16 and lemma 4.4.15, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(\Delta_{\sigma,a}^a \Delta_{\tau,b}^a) &= \phi\left(\Delta_{\sigma\tau, a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau)}^a\right) \\
&= \phi(a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^a) \\
&= a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^a) \\
&= a\sigma(b)a(\sigma,\tau)c(\sigma\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^b \\
&= a\sigma(b)\sigma(c(\tau))c(\sigma)b(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^b \\
&= c(\sigma)\sigma(c(\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau, a\sigma(b)b(\sigma\tau)}^b \\
&= c(\sigma)\sigma(c(\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,a}^b \Delta_{\tau,b}^b \\
\phi(\Delta_{\sigma,a}^a) \phi(\Delta_{\tau,b}^a) &= \phi(a \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}^a) \phi(b \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}^a) \\
&= (a \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\sigma,1}^a)) (b \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\tau,1}^a)) \\
&= (ac(\sigma) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}^b) (bc(\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}^b) \\
&= ac(\sigma) \cdot (\Delta_{\sigma,1}^b \iota_b(bc(\tau))) \Delta_{\tau,1}^b \\
&= ac(\sigma) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,\sigma(bc(\tau))}^b \Delta_{\tau,1}^b \\
&= ac(\sigma)\sigma(b)\sigma(c(\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1}^b \Delta_{\tau,1}^b \\
&= ac(\sigma)\sigma(b)\sigma(c(\tau))b(\sigma,\tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^b \\
&= c(\sigma)\sigma(c(\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau, a\sigma(b)b(\sigma,\tau)}^b \\
&= c(\sigma)\sigma(c(\tau)) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,a}^b \Delta_{\tau,b}^b.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence ϕ is actually an F -algebra isomorphism between \mathfrak{C}_a and \mathfrak{C}_b and isomorphic central simple F -algebras are certainly Brauer equivalent. \square

4.4.4 $H^2 \circ \mathfrak{C}$ and $\mathfrak{C} \circ H^2$

For a finite dimensional Galois extension of field K/F , we have constructed two functions H^2 and \mathfrak{C} between the second cohomology group $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ and the relative Brauer group $\text{Br}(K/F)$. In this section, we prove that they are mutual inverse to one another,

Lemma 4.4.25. The composition of \mathfrak{C} and H^2 is the identity:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{C}} & \text{Br}(K/F) \xrightarrow{H^2} H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \\
& \searrow \text{id} \nearrow & \\
& &
\end{array}$$

Proof. Let \mathfrak{a} be any 2-cocycle, by lemma 4.4.15, we notice that $x : \sigma \mapsto \Delta_{\sigma,1}$ is a conjugation sequence for \mathfrak{C}_a . Hence by construction 4.4.3, ?? and theorem 4.4.24, we evaluate the composition at \mathfrak{a} as:

$$[\mathfrak{a}] \longmapsto [\mathfrak{C}_a]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \longmapsto [(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \text{comp}_{\Delta_{\sigma,1}, \Delta_{\tau,1}, \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}}^x].$$

That is, we need to show that \mathfrak{a} and $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \text{comp}_{\Delta_{\sigma,1}, \Delta_{\tau,1}, \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}}$ are 2-cohomologous. In fact, they are equal. By construction 4.4.2, we have that $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}(\text{comp}_{\Delta_{\sigma,1}, \Delta_{\tau,1}, \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}}^x) = \Delta_{\sigma,1} \Delta_{\tau,1} \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^{-1} = a(\sigma, \tau) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1} \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}^{-1} = a(\sigma, \tau) \cdot 1 = \Delta_{\text{id}, a(\sigma,\tau)}$ which is precisely $\iota_{\mathfrak{C}_a}(a(\sigma, \tau))$. \square

Lemma 4.4.26. The composition of H^2 and \mathfrak{C} is the identity:

$$\text{Br}(K/F) \xrightarrow{H^2} H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \xrightarrow{c} \text{Br}(K/F) .$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{id}}$

Proof. Let $X \in \text{Br}(K/F)$, A be an arbitrary good representation of X and χ be an arbitrary A -conjugation sequence which exists by corollary 4.3.4 and construction 4.3.4. By definition 4.3.1, $X = [A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}}$. Hence by ?? and theorem 4.4.24, we evaluate the composition at X as:

$$[A]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} \longmapsto [\mathcal{B}_\chi^2] \longmapsto [\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_\chi^2}] .$$

Hence we need to prove that A and $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_\chi^2}$ are Brauer equivalent. We will show that they are isomorphic as F -algebras. since $\{\chi_\sigma | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for A and $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1} | \sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_\chi^2}$, they are certainly isomorphic as K -modules. Let $\phi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{B}_\chi^2} \cong A$ be the K -linear isomorphism defined by $\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mapsto \chi_\sigma$, since the K -action on A and the F -action on A are compatible (construction 4.3.2), ϕ is also an F -linear isomorphism. Like in theorem 4.4.24, we check that $\phi(1) = 1$ and $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$ for all $x, y \in A$:

1. preservation of one: by construction 4.4.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(1) &= \phi(\Delta_{\text{id}, \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \phi(\Delta_{\text{id}, 1}) \\ &= \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \chi_{\text{id}} \\ &= \text{comp}_{\chi_{\text{id}}, \chi_{\text{id}}, \chi_{\text{id}}}^{-1} \chi_{\text{id}} \\ &= \text{comp}_{\chi_{\text{id}}, \chi_{\text{id}}, \chi_{\text{id}}} \chi_{\text{id}} \chi_{\text{id}}^{-1} \\ &= \chi_{\text{id}} \chi_{\text{id}}^{-1} \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

2. preservation of multiplication: let $\sigma, \tau \in \text{Gal}(K/F)$ and $c, d \in K$, by construction 4.4.2 and definition 4.3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(\Delta_{\sigma, c} \Delta_{\tau, d}) &= \phi(\Delta_{\sigma\tau, c\sigma(d) \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\sigma, \tau)}) \\ &= c\sigma(d) \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\sigma, \tau) \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\sigma\tau, 1}) \\ &= c\sigma(d) \mathcal{B}_\chi^2(\sigma, \tau) \cdot \chi_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= c\sigma(d) \text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}} \cdot \chi_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= c\sigma(d) \cdot \iota_A(\text{comp}_{\chi_\sigma, \chi_\tau, \chi_{\sigma\tau}}) \chi_{\sigma\tau} \\ &= c\sigma(d) \cdot \chi_\sigma \chi_\tau \\ \phi(\Delta_{\sigma, c}) \phi(\Delta_{\tau, d}) &= (c \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\sigma, 1})) (d \cdot \phi(\Delta_{\tau, 1})) \\ &= (c \cdot \chi_\sigma) (d \cdot \chi_\tau) \\ &= c \cdot \chi_\sigma \iota_A(d) \chi_\tau \\ &= c\sigma(d) \cdot \chi_\sigma \chi_\tau. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 4.4.27. For a finite dimensional and Galois extension of field K/F , the relative Brauer group K/F bijects to the second cohomology group $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ by the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Br}(K/F) & \xrightarrow{H^2} & H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathrm{Br}(K/F) & \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{C}} & H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \end{array} .$$

Proof. Exactly lemma 4.4.25 and lemma 4.4.26. \square

4.4.5 Group Homomorphism

In previous sections, when K/F is a finite dimensional Galois extension, we have set up a bijection between the relative Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(K/F)$ and the second cohomology group $H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$. But both functions H^2 and \mathfrak{C} are only set-theoretical function. In this section, we aim to upgrade them to group homomorphisms. Technically, we only need to prove either one of them preserves multiplication; we provide a proof that H^2 preserves one anyway because we found the proof to be entertaining.

$$\mathfrak{C}_1 = 1 \text{ and } H^2(1) = 1$$

Theorem 4.4.28. The function $\mathfrak{C} : H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K/F)$ preserves one, that is \mathfrak{C}

Proof. Since $\{\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mid \sigma \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/F)\}$ is a K -basis for \mathfrak{C}_1 where $1 \in \mathcal{B}^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ is the constant function 1 (lemma 4.4.17), we construct a K -linear map $\phi : \mathfrak{C}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_F K$ by $\Delta_{\sigma,1} \mapsto \sigma$; note that ϕ is F -linear as well. In fact, ϕ is also an F -algebra homomorphism:

1. $\phi(1) = 1$: indeed $\phi(\Delta_{\mathrm{id},1}) = \mathrm{id}$.
2. $\phi(xy) = \phi(x)\phi(y)$: indeed, let $\sigma, \tau \in \mathrm{Gal}(K/F)$ and $c, d \in K$, we need to check that $\phi(\Delta_{\sigma,c}\Delta_{\tau,d}) = \phi(\Delta_{\sigma,c})\phi(\Delta_{\tau,d})$. The left hand side is equal to

$$\phi(\Delta_{\sigma\tau, c\sigma(d)}) = \phi(c\sigma(d) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma\tau,1}) = c\sigma(d) \cdot \sigma\tau;$$

and the right hand side is equal to

$$\phi(c \cdot \Delta_{\sigma,1})\phi(d \cdot \Delta_{\tau,1}) = (c \cdot \sigma)(d \cdot \tau).$$

For any $x \in K$, applying left hand side to x will result in $c\sigma(d)\sigma(\tau(x))$ while right hand side will result in $c\sigma(d\tau(x))$, hence both sides are equal.

Hence, ϕ is an F -algebra isomorphism by corollary 1.1.8; that is we have $\mathfrak{C}_1 \cong \mathrm{End}_F K \cong \mathrm{Mat}_{\dim_F K}(F)$. We conclude that \mathfrak{C}_1 is Brauer equivalent to F and consequently $H^2(1) = 1$. \square

Corollary 4.4.29. The function $H^2 : \mathrm{Br}(K/F) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ preserves one, that is $H^2(1) = 1$.

Proof. Apply \mathfrak{C} then use lemma 4.4.26 and theorem 4.4.28. \square

$$\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}} \sim_{\text{Br}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$$

The argument in this section is more complicated, because, unlike before, the left hand side and the right hand side are not isomorphic as \mathbb{F} -algebras — left hand side has \mathbb{F} -dimension $(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} K)$ while the right hand side has \mathbb{F} -dimension $(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} K)^4$. Let \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} be two 2-cocycles in $\mathcal{B}^2(\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F}), K^*)$, we denote \mathfrak{c} to be the 2-cocycle $\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}$. Intuitively, $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$ is too “big”, to address this issue we introduce a quotient module.

Construction 4.4.10 (M). Consider the quotient module

$$M := \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} / \langle (k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}) \mid k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rangle.$$

For any $\mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, we can define an \mathbb{F} -linear map $M \rightarrow M$ by descending the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$

$$\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}' \otimes \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}';$$

we need to check that for all $k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, the image of $(k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b})$ is in $\langle (k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}) \mid k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rangle$: the image is $(k \cdot \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}') \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}')$ which is in the generating set with $k \in K, \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$, and $\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. This map is in fact \mathbb{F} -linear in both \mathfrak{a}' and \mathfrak{b}' , hence we have an \mathbb{F} -bilinear map $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M$. This gives M a $(\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}})^{\text{opp}}$ -module structure given by

$$(\mathfrak{a}' \otimes \mathfrak{b}') \cdot [\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}] = \mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{a}' \otimes \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{b}'$$

for any $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{b}' \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. All of the module axioms in this case follows from \mathbb{F} -bilinearity.

For any $\mathfrak{c} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{c}}$, we can define another \mathbb{F} -linear map $M \rightarrow M$ by descending the \mathbb{F} -linear map $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}} \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$

$$\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b} \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})} \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b};$$

we need check that for all $k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$, the image of $(k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b})$ is in $\langle (k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}) \mid k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rangle$: by lemma 4.4.15 the image is

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})} \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} (k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b} - \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})} \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \iota_{\mathfrak{a}}(k) \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b} - \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \iota_{\mathfrak{b}}(k) \mathfrak{b} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})} \sigma(k) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b} - \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \sigma(k) \cdot \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b}, \end{aligned}$$

which is in $\langle (k \cdot \mathfrak{a}) \otimes \mathfrak{b} - \mathfrak{a} \otimes (k \cdot \mathfrak{b}) \mid k \in K, \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}, \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}} \rangle$ because for each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})$, the summand is in the generating set with $\sigma(k) \in K, \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{a}}$ and $\Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b} \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{b}}$. This map is in fact \mathbb{F} -linear in \mathfrak{c} , therefore we have an \mathbb{F} -bilinear map $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{c}} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M$. (In the above calculation “ \otimes ” symbol has low precedence.) This gives M a $\mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{c}}$ -module structure given by

$$\mathfrak{c} \cdot [\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}] = \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{F})} \Delta_{\sigma, \mathfrak{c}(\sigma)}^{\mathfrak{a}} \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\sigma, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b} \right].$$

In particular, if \mathfrak{c} is of the form $k \cdot \Delta_{\tau, 1}^{\mathfrak{c}}$, then $(k \cdot \Delta_{\tau, 1}^{\mathfrak{c}}) \cdot [\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}]$ is equal to $[(k \cdot \Delta_{\tau, 1}^{\mathfrak{a}}) \mathfrak{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau, 1}^{\mathfrak{b}} \mathfrak{b}]$ because $\Delta_{\tau, 1}^{\mathfrak{c}}(\sigma) = 0$ for all $\sigma \neq \tau$. Two of the module axioms need more than \mathbb{F} -bilinearity:

- $c = 1$: note that $c = 1 = \mathbf{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \cdot \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^c$, hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot [\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}] &= [\mathbf{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1} \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\text{id}, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [\Delta_{\text{id}, \mathbf{a}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\text{id}, \mathbf{b}(\text{id}, \text{id})^{-1}}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}]. \end{aligned}$$

- $c_1 c_2 \cdot [\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}] = c_1 \cdot c_2 \cdot [\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}]$: assume $c_1 = k_1 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^c$ and $c_2 = k_2 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^c$. Then $c_1 c_2 = k_1 \tau_1(k_2) \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, c(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^c = k_1 \tau_1(k_2) \mathbf{a}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mathbf{b}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, 1}^c$. Therefore, the left hand side is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &[(k_1 \tau_1(k_2) \mathbf{a}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \mathbf{b}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, 1}^a) \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [k_1 \tau_1(k_2) \mathbf{a}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, 1}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}(\tau_1, \tau_2) \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [\Delta_{\tau_1, k_1}^a \Delta_{\tau_2, k_2}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^b \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}]; \end{aligned}$$

and the right hand side is also equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &(k_1 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^c) [k_2 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [(k_1 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^a) (k_2 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^a) \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^b \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [k_1 \tau_1(k_2) \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1 \tau_2, \mathbf{a}(\tau_1, \tau_2)}^a \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^b \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}] \\ &= [(k_1 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^a) (k_2 \cdot \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^a) \mathbf{a} \otimes \Delta_{\tau_1, 1}^b \Delta_{\tau_2, 1}^b \mathbf{b}]. \end{aligned}$$

Expanding everything out and checking on the basic elements, we see that for any $x \in (\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_b)^{\text{opp}}$, $y \in \mathfrak{C}_c$ and $z \in M$, $x \cdot y \cdot z = y \cdot x \cdot z$. In another word, we gave M a $(\mathfrak{C}_c, \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_b)$ -bimodule structure.

Lemma 4.4.30. M is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b$ as \mathbb{F} -modules.

Proof. The map $M \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b$ is obtained by descending the obvious \mathbb{F} -linear map $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_b \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b$. By universal property of tensor product, there is an additive group homomorphism $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b \rightarrow M$ given by $\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b} \mapsto [\mathbf{a} \otimes \mathbf{b}]$, this map is in fact \mathbb{F} -linear. The two maps are inverse to each other. \square

Corollary 4.4.31. The \mathbb{F} -dimension of M is equal to $(\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K})^3$, consequently M is a finitely generated \mathfrak{C}_c -module.

Proof. By lemma 4.4.30, the dimension of M is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$. By lemma 4.4.17, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_a = \dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathfrak{C}_b = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \mathbb{K}$. \square

Construction 4.4.11. By lemma 2.2.2, there exists some simple \mathfrak{C}_c -module S such that \mathfrak{C}_c is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in J} S$ as \mathfrak{C}_c -module for some indexing set J . If we give S the \mathbb{F} -module structure by pulling back the \mathfrak{C}_c -module structure, by restricting scalars \mathfrak{C}_c is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i \in J} S$ as \mathbb{F} -module as well. Since \mathfrak{C}_c is a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, J must be finite as well. Note that S must be a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space, because S is finitely generated as \mathfrak{C}_c -module and \mathfrak{C}_c has finite \mathbb{F} -dimension. The indexing set J must be nonempty, otherwise \mathfrak{C}_c being isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\emptyset} S$ is a trivial ring; but simple rings are non-trivial. Since J is finite, direct sum over J and direct product over J agree. Recall construction 3.1.1 and construction 3.1.2, for all non-zero $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} (S^m) \cong \text{Mat}_m (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S)$$

as F -algebras, hence

$$\mathfrak{C}_c^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} \mathfrak{C}_c \cong \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S^{|\mathbb{J}|} \cong \text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}|}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S)$$

as F -algebras. Finally

$$\mathfrak{C}_c \cong \text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}|}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} (S)^{\text{opp}})$$

as F -algebras.

Corollary 4.4.32.

$$(\dim_F K)^2 = |\mathbb{J}|^2 \dim_F \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S.$$

Proof. They are both equal to $\dim_F \mathfrak{C}_c$ by construction 4.4.11. \square

Corollary 4.4.33.

$$|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F S = (\dim_F K)^2$$

Proof. They are all equal to $\dim_F \mathfrak{C}_c = \dim_F S^{|\mathbb{J}|}$ by construction 4.4.11. \square

Lemma 4.4.34. There exists a \mathfrak{C}_c -linear isomorphism between M and $S^{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}$.

Proof. By lemma 2.2.4, we only need to show that $\dim_F M = \dim_F S^{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}$. We already have $\dim_F M = (\dim_F K)^3$ by corollary 4.4.31. We also have $\dim_F S^{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K} = |\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K \dim_F S = \dim_F K (|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F S) = \dim_F K (\dim_F K)^2$ by corollary 4.4.33. \square

Corollary 4.4.35. As F -vector spaces, $M \cong S^{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}$.

Proof. Restricting scalars on the \mathfrak{C}_c -linear isomorphism in lemma 4.4.34 \square

Corollary 4.4.36. As F -algebras, $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M \cong \text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S)$.

Proof. From corollary 4.4.35, we have $\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M \cong \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} (S^{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K})$. By construction 3.1.2, they are isomorphic to $\text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S)$. \square

Corollary 4.4.37.

$$\dim_F \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M = (\dim_F K)^4.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_F \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M &= \dim_F \text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}(\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S) \\ &= \dim_F (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S \otimes_F \text{Mat}_{|\mathbb{J}| \dim_F K}(F)) \\ &= |\mathbb{J}|^2 (\dim_F K)^2 \dim_F \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S \\ &= (\dim_F K)^2 (|\mathbb{J}|^2 \dim_F \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S) \\ &= (\dim_F K)^2 (\dim_F K)^2, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is by corollary 4.4.32. \square

Theorem 4.4.38. The cross product \mathfrak{C}_c and the tensor product $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_F \mathfrak{C}_b$ are Brauer equivalent.

Proof. We define an F -algebra homomorphism $\phi : (\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_F \mathfrak{C}_b)^{\text{opp}} \rightarrow \text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M$ by $x \mapsto (x \cdot \bullet)$. By corollary 4.4.37, both sides has F -dimension $(\dim_F K)^4$, therefore, ϕ is an F -algebra isomorphism by corollary 1.1.8. Hence we have another F -algebra isomorphism by composing the isomorphism in corollary 4.4.36:

$$\phi^{\text{opp}} : \mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_F \mathfrak{C}_b \cong (\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} M)^{\text{opp}} \cong \text{Mat}_{|J| \dim_F K} ((\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S)^{\text{opp}}).$$

In the meantime, by construction 4.4.11, we have $\mathfrak{C}_c \cong \text{Mat}_{|J|} ((\text{End}_{\mathfrak{C}_c} S) \text{opp})$; hence $\text{Mat}_{\dim_F K} (\mathfrak{C}_c)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{C}_a \otimes_F \mathfrak{C}_b$. \square

Corollary 4.4.39 (group isomorphism). For a finite dimensional Galois field extension K/F , the relative Brauer group $\text{Br}(K/F)$ is isomorphic to the second group cohomology $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$.

Proof. In corollary 4.4.27, we have seen that H^2 and \mathfrak{C} form a bijection, thus it is sufficient to check either one of them preserves multiplication. The function $\mathfrak{C} : H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$ preserves multiplication: let $[a], [b]$ be two elements in $H^2(\text{Gal}(K/F), K^*)$, by theorem 4.4.38, $\mathfrak{C}(ab)$ is indeed Brauer equivalent to $\mathfrak{C}(a) \otimes_F \mathfrak{C}(b)$ that is

$$[\mathfrak{C}_a]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} [\mathfrak{C}_b]_{\sim_{\text{Br}}} = [\mathfrak{C}_{ab}].$$

\square